

Evaluation of Parasitofauna of Four Economically Important Fish Species (*Synodontis Budgetti*, *Chrysichthys Nigrodigitatus*, *Bagrus Docmac* and *Heterobranchus Bidorsalis*) From River Okpokwu, Apa, Nigeria

Omeji S.¹, Kolndadacha O.D.², Adadu M.O.³

¹Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, P.M.B. 2373, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria

²Department of Animal Health and Production, College of Veterinary Medicine, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, P.M.B. 2373, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria

³Federal College of Freshwater Fisheries Technology Baga, PMB 1060, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Omeji S.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20220863>

ABSTRACT

The parasitic fauna of four economically important fish species comprising of *Synodontis budgetti*, *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus*, *Bagrus docmac* and *Heterobranchus bidorsalis* from River Okpokwu, Apa, Nigeria, was investigated. A total of 161 fish samples comprising of 57 *S. budgetti*, 48 *C. nigrodigitatus*, 24 *B. docmac* and 32 *H. bidorsalis* were randomly purchased and subjected to parasitological examination. The overall parasite prevalence of all the fish species was 36.60% out of which *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis* accounted for 14.30%, 11.20%, 1.90% and 9.30%, respectively. However, the prevalence of infection by each fish species were 40.40%, 37.50%, 12.50% and 46.90% for *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively. There was no significant difference in parasite prevalence for fish species ($p>0.05$) though, *S. budgetti* had the highest prevalence of infection (14.70%) with *B. docmac* recording the least (1.90%). Also, while the highest prevalence of infection was recorded for nematode (17.40%), the least (3.70%) each was recorded for trematode and copepode, respectively. Of the parasitic taxa, nematode was the most abundant (56.50%) and had the highest prevalence (17.40%). The parasites recovered from the fish species belonged to a

species of acanthocephala (*Neoechinorhynchus rutili*), copepode (*Argulus africanus*), trematode (*Clinostomum complanatum*), 2 species of cestode (*Ligula intestinalis* and *Diphilobothrium latum*) and 4 species of nematode (*Capillaria philippinensis*, *Eustrongylides tubifex*, *Camallanus polypteri* and *Procamallanus laevionchus*). Out of the total number (292) of parasites recovered from the infested body parts of the fish, intestine had the highest percentage parasite load (62.30%) and prevalence (29.80) while the gill had the least 16(5.50%) parasite load and prevalence of 3.10. Generally, the prevalence of parasites (43.00%), percentage parasite recovered (56.50%), mean abundance (2.60) and mean intensity (5.90) were higher for the female fish samples compared to the males with the prevalence of parasites, percentage parasite recovered, mean abundance and mean intensity of (32.00%), (43.50%), (1.30) and (4.10), respectively. However, there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) by sex in the prevalence of parasites of all the fish species. The size class related prevalence showed an increase in parasitic infections (24.60%) and (20.80%) in *S. budgetti* and *C. nigrodigitatus* in the length groups of 13.00 to 15.90cm and 19.00 to 22.90cm while the least (5.30%) and (6.30%) were recorded for *S. budgetti* and *C. nigrodigitatus* in the length groups of 10.00 to 12.90cm and 15.00 to

18.90cm, respectively. However, while the highest prevalence (8.30%) and (21.90%) were recorded for smaller samples of *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis* in the length groups of 10.00 to 20.90cm and 13.00 – 21.90cm, the least (4.20%) and (3.10%) were recorded in length groups of 41.00 to 50.90cm and 39.00 – 54.90cm for bigger samples of *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively.

Keywords: Parasitofauna, River Okpokwu, Prevalence, Nematode, Sex

INTRODUCTION

One of the major problems facing freshwater and marine fishes all over the world today are parasites which are important most especially in the tropics (Bichi and Dawaki, 2010; Ekanem et al., 2011). They (parasites) constitute a major limiting factor to the growth performance of fish in Nigeria (Bichi and Yelwa, 2010) and are known to pose different effects on their hosts (fish).

The different effects of parasites on fish have been revealed by different authors; nutrient devaluation (Hassan et al., 2010); alteration of biology and behaviour (Lafferty, 2008); lowering of immune capability, induction of blindness (Echi et al., 2009 a, b); morbidity, mortality, growth and fecundity reduction (Nmor et al., 2004) and mechanical injuries depending on the parasite species and burden (Echi et al., 2009 a, b).

Various studies have revealed the parasitic fauna in freshwater fishes ranging from ectoparasites (Idoko, 2018, Oniye et al., 2004) to endoparasites (Omeji et al., 2022, Osimen and Anagha, 2020, Omeji et al., 2018; Edeh, and Solomon, 2016) which affect fish health, growth and survival. In the survey of freshwater parasites according to (Marcogliese, 2002), the economic important parasitic groups include the microparasites; protozoans - microsporidians and myxozoans while the macroparasites group is comprised of helminthes such as monogenea and the diagenes trematodes (flukes), cestodes

(tapeworms), nematodes (roundworms) and Acanthocephala (thorny headed worms). The arthropod parasites are represented mainly by the copepods while the annelid parasites are the leeches. This is the first paper to provide information on the parasitic prevalence of infection, mean abundance and mean intensity of the studied four economically important fish species (*S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*) from River Okpokwu, Apa, Benue State Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area

River Okpokwu is one of the relief features in Apa LGA of Benue State, Nigeria which provides one of the highest fishing ground in Zone C senatorial district. It is located on longitude 7.80oE and latitude 7.58oN. The River is about 4km away from Ugbokpo, Apa Local Government Headquarters. River Okpokwu meets river Ochekwu at Odejo, Agatu LGA where they flow into River Benue. Unlike other rivers within the locality, River Okpokwu never dries up completely during dry season and its volume and size increase drastically during rainy season. Thus, it sustains high agricultural and fishing activities. The dense vegetation along the river consists of trees, climbers, shrubs and grasses which create room for the inhabitation of the intermediate hosts which harbour the infective larval stage of parasites, making them available to fish in the water. These constitute potential sources of parasitic load of the fish species in the river.

Collection of fish specimen for parasitological study

One hundred and sixty-one (161) fish samples comprising of 57, 48, 24 and 32 samples each of *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis* were purchased from fishers at the bank of the river.

Sex determination and measurement of length and weight

Purchased fish samples were transported in four (4) plastic containers to the Fisheries Laboratory, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Makurdi for morphological and parasitological studies. In the laboratory, the taxonomic identity of the fish samples was confirmed; the sexes of the fish were determined by examination of their papillae, total length was measured to the nearest 0.1 centimetre (cm) using a meter rule mounted on a dissecting board while the weight was measured to the nearest 0.1gram (g) using an electronic weighing balance (Golden Mettler, Model: GW: 1.3kg, NW; 1kg - US).

Examination of parasites

Freshly caught fish were examined for parasites using procedures described by Arthur and Albert (1994) as follows:

Examination of ectoparasites

Fins and skins were gently brushed into a plastic Petri dish containing normal saline solution (0.90% NaCl) and examined with a hand lens for the presence of ecto-parasites. Scrapings from the skin and fins of each fish were smeared on glass slides followed by addition of few drops of 0.9% saline solutions and examined under 10x magnification of a light binocular microscope for the presence of external parasites.

Detection of parasites from the gills of the fish samples was carried out using the methods described by Bichi and Ibrahim, (2009) and Ahmad (2007). Gills were cut open using a pair of scissors, placed in a Petri-dish and gill filaments were dissected using anatomical needle and examined under the microscope. Gill scrapings were later placed on few drops of water previously placed on to the glass slides then covered with cover-slide and examined under the phase-contrast microscope. Detected parasites were viewed using Zpix Digital Microscope, MM 640 connected to a computer (Lap top 6735s). Observed

parasites were identified using identification guidelines based on morphological features of parasites (Paperna, 1996), counted and recorded.

Examination and identification of endoparasites

An incision was made on the ventral side of the fish from the anal opening to the lower jaw using dissecting scissors to expose the body cavity and internal organs. The stomach and intestine were gently separated and kept in different sample plates containing 0.9% NaCl (normal saline) solution. Contents of the stomach and intestine were washed in the normal saline solution for sedimentation and floatation (The water from the washed was poured into test tube and centrifuged, using an ordinary centrifuge at 4500 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted and the sediment was examined under a light microscope). A drop of the residue was placed on the slide and the wet mount was examined for parasites under the microscope for various parasites. The recovered parasites were identified using a standard key by Ajala and Fawole (2014) and Kawe et al., (2016). Recovered parasites from the stomach and intestine were recorded and counted.

Statistical Analysis

The prevalence, mean abundance and mean intensity of parasitic infection were calculated as follows;

$$P = \frac{N}{n} \times 100 \quad \text{Bush et al., (1997)}$$

Where:

P = Prevalence (%), N = Number of infected fish, n = Number of examined fish

$$A = \frac{\sum P}{n} \quad \text{Ejere et al., (2017)}$$

Where:

A = Mean abundance, P = Number of parasites, n = Number of examined fish

$$I = \frac{\sum P}{N} \quad \text{Bush et al., (1997)}$$

Where:

I = Mean intensity (parasite/fish), P = Number of parasites, N = Number of infected fish.

The relationships between factors such as fish sex, weight, total length, and parasitic infection were obtained from pooled data using analysis of variance (ANOVA). All statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) version 21.0.

RESULTS

The overall prevalence and prevalence by infection of each fish species examined are presented in Table 1 while the Parasite abundance in the examined fish species from River Okpokwu is presented in Table 2.

From Table 1, a total of 161 fish samples, comprising of 57 *S. budgetti*, 48 *C. nigrodigitatus* 24 *B. docmac* and 32 *H. bidorsalis*, were sampled from River Okpokwu. The overall parasite prevalence of all the fish species was 36.60% out of which *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis* accounted for 14.30%, 11.20%, 1.90% and 9.30%, respectively. However, the prevalence of infection by each fish species were 40.40%, 37.50%, 12.50% and 46.90% for *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively. There was no significant difference in parasite prevalence for fish species ($p > 0.05$) though; *S. budgetti* had the highest (14.70%)

prevalence of infection with *B. docmac* recording the least (1.90%). Also, while the highest prevalence of infection was recorded for nematode (17.40%), the least (3.70%) each was recorded for trematode and copepode, respectively.

From Table 2, out of the 161 fish samples examined for parasites, a total of 292 parasites were recovered from the 59 infested fish samples. Out of the 292 parasites, while *S. budgetti* recorded the highest number (percentage) parasite load 125(42.80%), the least 22(7.50%) was recorded for *B. docmac*. Based on the taxa presentation of the recovered parasites, nematode had the highest number/percentage parasite load 165(56.50%) while the least 14(4.80%) was recorded for trematode. Also, nematode had the highest prevalence 28(17.40%) while the least (3.70%) each was recorded for trematode and copepode, respectively. All the identified parasites belonged to a species of acanthocephala (*Neoechinorhynchus rutili*), copepode (*Argulus africanus*.) trematode (*Clinostomum complanatum*), 2 species of cestode (*Ligula intestinalis* and *Diphilobothrium latum*) and 4 species of nematode (*Capillaria philippinensis*, *Eustrongylides tubifex*, *Camallanus polypteri*. and *Procamallanus laevionchus*).

Table 1. Overall prevalence of parasites in the examined fish species from River Okpokwu

Fish species	Number (%) of fish examined	Number (%) of fish infested	Number (%) of fish infested with acanthocephala	Number (%) of fish infested with nematode	Number (%) of fish infested with trematode	Number (%) of fish infested with cestode	Number (%) of fish infested with copepoda	Prevalence (%) of infection by fish species
<i>S. budgetti</i>	57(35.40)	23(14.30)	5(3.10)	8(5.00)	4(2.50)	6(3.70)	0(0.00)	23(40.40)
<i>C. nigrodigitatus</i>	48(29.80)	18(11.20)	2(1.24)	9(5.60)	2(1.20)	3(1.90)	2(1.20)	18(37.50)
<i>B. docmac</i>	24(14.90)	3(1.90)	0(0.00)	2(1.20)	0(0.00)	1(0.60)	0(0.00)	3(12.50)
<i>H. bidorsalis</i>	32(19.80)	15(9.30)	2(1.20)	9(6.20)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	4(3.10)	15(46.90)
Total	161(100.00)	59(36.60)	9(5.60)	28(17.40)	6(3.70)	10(6.20)	6(3.70)	59(36.60)

Table 2. Parasite abundance (%) in the examined fish species from River Okpokwu

Fish species	Number of parasites recovered per taxonomic group						Total
	NFI	Acanthocephala	Cestode	Trematode	Nematode	Copepoda	
<i>S. budgetti</i>	23(14.30)	22(17.60)	37(29.60)	8(6.40)	58(46.40)	0(0.00)	125(42.80)
<i>C. nigrodigitatus</i>	18(11.20)	9(10.00)	15(16.70)	6(6.70)	53(58.90)	7(7.80)	90(30.80)
<i>B. docmac</i>	3(1.90)	0(0.00)	5(22.70)	0(0.00)	17(77.30)	0(0.00)	22(7.50)
<i>H. bidorsalis</i>	15(9.30)	9(16.40)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	37(67.30)	9(16.40)	55(18.80)
Total	59(36.60)	40(13.70)	57(19.50)	14(4.80)	165(56.50)	16(5.50)	292(100.00)

NFI = Number of fish infested

The parasites species spectrum, prevalence and abundance in the fish species examined from River Okpokwu are presented in Table 3 while the infestation status in relation to the body parts of the studied fish species from River Okpokwu are presented in Table 4.

From Table 3, out of the 161 total fish samples examined for parasitological study, 23(14.30%), 18(11.20%), 3(1.90%) and 15(9.30%) of *S. budget*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively were infested with different parasite species. Multiple infestation was however common to all the species of fish examined. *Camallanus polypteri* occurred in all the fish species; being highest in *H. bidorsalis* (12.70%) but lowest (3.10%) in *B. docmac*. From Table 4, *Neoechinorhynchus rutili* was recovered from the intestine and stomach of *S. budgetti*, stomach of *C. nigrodigitatus*. *Argulus africanus* was recovered from the gills of *H. bidorsalis* and *C. nigrodigitatus*, respectively. *Clinostomum complanatum* was recovered from the intestine and stomach of *S. budgetti* and

stomach of *C. nigrodigitatus*, *Ligula intestinalis* was recovered from the stomach of *B. docmac*, *Diphilobthrium latum* was recovered from the intestine and stomach of *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *Capillaria philippinensis* was recovered from the intestine and stomach of *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus* and *B. docmac*, *Eustrongylides tubifex* was recovered from the intestine and stomach of *S. budgetti*, and intestine of *B. docmac*, *Camallanus polypteri* was recovered from the intestine and stomach of *H. bidorsalis*, *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus* and stomach of *B. docmac* while *Procamallanus laevionchus* was recovered from the intestine and stomach of *S. budgetti* and *C. nigrodigitatus*, respectively.

Generally, out of the total number (292) parasites recovered from the body parts of the infested fish, intestine had the highest percentage parasite load (62.30%) and prevalence (29.80) while the gill had the least 16(5.50%) parasite load and prevalence of 3.10.

Table 3. Parasites species spectrum, prevalence and abundance in the fish species from River Okpokwu

Parasites Taxa/species	Fish species/ infestation status															
	<i>S. budgetti</i>				<i>C. nigrodigitatus</i>				<i>B. docmac</i>				<i>H. bidorsalis</i>			
	Nf i	Np r	Prv	Ma	Nf i	Np r	Prv	Ma	Nf i	Np r	Prv	Ma	Nf i	Np r	Prv	Ma
ACANTHOCEPHALA																
<i>N. rutili</i>	4	22	7.00	0.40	1	9	2.10	0.20	0	0	0	0	2	9	6.30	0.30
NEMATODA																
<i>C. polypteri</i>	7	26	12.30	0.50	7	27	14.60	0.60	4	9	16.40	0.10	8	37	25.00	1.20
<i>P. laevionchus</i>	6	10	10.50	0.20	5	11	10.40	0.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>E. tubifex</i>	5	14	8.80	0.20	0	0	0	0	2	3	8.33	0.40	0	0	0	0
<i>C. philippinensis</i>	6	8	10.50	0.10	6	15	12.50	0.30	2	5	8.33	0.20	0	0	0	0
CESTODA																
<i>L.intestinalis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.20	0.20	0	0	0	0
<i>D. latum</i>	4	37	7.00	0.60	2	15	4.20	0.30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TREMOTODA																
<i>C. complanatum</i>	3	8	5.30	0.10	3	6	6.30	0.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COPEPODA																
<i>A. africanus</i>	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.20	0.10	0	0	0	0	3	9	9.40	0.30

Nfi = Number of fish infested, Npr = Number of parasite recovered, Prv = Prevalence, Ma = Mean abundance

Table 4. Host fish species, parasites species and their site of attachment in hosts from River Okpokwu

Fish species/Number (N) examined	Parasite species	Parts/infestation status											
		Gills				Intestine				Stomach			
		Nfi	Npr	Prv	Ma	Nfi	Npr	Prv	Ma	Nfi	Npr	Prv	Ma
<i>S. budgetti</i> N = 57	<i>N. rutili</i>	0	0	0	0	3	17	5.30	0.30	1	5	1.80	0.10
	<i>C. polypteri</i>	0	0	0	0	2	14	3.50	0.20	3	12	5.30	0.20
	<i>P. laevionchus</i>	0	0	0	0	4	7	7.0	0.10	2	3	3.50	0.10
	<i>E. tubifex</i>	0	0	0	0	1	5	1.80	0.10	4	9	7.0	0.20
	<i>C. philipinensis</i>	0	0	0	0	5	5	8.80	0.10	2	3	3.50	0.10
	<i>D. latum</i>	0	0	0	0	3	26	5.30	0.50	1	11	1.80	0.20
<i>C. nigrodigitatus</i> N = 48	<i>C. complanatum</i>	0	0	0	0	2	5	3.50	0.10	1	3	1.80	0.10
	<i>N. rutili</i>	0	0	0	0	1	9	2.10	0.20	0	0	0	0
	<i>C. polypteri</i>	0	0	0	0	3	16	6.25	0.30	2	11	4.20	0.20
	<i>P. laevionchus</i>	0	0	0	0	5	6	10.40	0.10	2	5	4.20	0.10
	<i>C. philipinensis</i>	0	0	0	0	4	9	8.30	0.20	2	6	4.20	0.10
	<i>D. latum</i>	0	0	0	0	1	9	2.10	0.20	1	6	2.10	0.10
<i>B. docmac</i> N = 24	<i>C. complanatum</i>	0	0	0	0	3	6	6.25	0.10	0	0	0	0
	<i>A. africanus</i>	2	7	4.20	0.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>C. polypteri</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	8.30	0.10
	<i>E. tubifex</i>	0	0	0	0	2	12	8.30	0.40	0	0	0	0
	<i>L. intestinalis</i>	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.20	0.20	0	0	0	0
	<i>N. rutili</i>	0	0	0	0	2	9	6.30	0.30	0	0	0	0
<i>H. bidorsalis</i> N = 32	<i>C. polypteri</i>	0	0	0	0	3	22	9.40	0.70	5	15	15.60	0.50
	<i>A. africanus</i>	3	9	9.40	0.30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (%)		5(8.50)	16(5.50)	3.10	0.10	48(81.40)	182(62.30)	29.80	1.10	28(47.50)	94(32.20)	17.40	0.60

N = Number, Nfi = Number of fish infested, Npr = Number of parasites recovered, Prv = Prevalence, Ma = Mean abundance

The overall prevalence, abundance and intensity of parasites in male and female fish species from River Okpokwu are presented in Table 5. The prevalence of parasite was higher in female *S. budgetti* (60.23%), *C. nigrodigitatus* (41.20%) and *B. docmac* (33.30%) than the male (25.00%), (35.50%) and (0.00%), respectively. On the contrary, the prevalence of parasite was higher in male *H. bidorsalis* (63.20%) than the female (23.10%). Variation in percentage parasite load between the male and female fish species examined existed being higher in female *S. budgetti* (55.20%) *C. nigrodigitatus* (56.79%) and *B. docmac* (100%) than the males with percentage parasite load of (44.89%), (43.30%) and (0.00%), respectively. However, percentage parasite load was higher for male *S. budgetti* (52.20%) than the female (41.80%). Mean abundance in female *S. budgetti* (2.80), *C. nigrodigitatus* (3.00), *B. docmac* (2.40) and *H. bidorsalis* (1.80) were higher than the male with the mean abundance of (1.80),

(1.30), (0.00) and (1.70) for *S. budgetti*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively. Mean intensity for female *C. nigrodigitatus* (7.30), *B. docmac* (7.30) and *H. bidorsalis* (7.70) were higher than the male with the mean intensity of (13.50), (0.00) and (2.70) for *C. nigrodigitatus*, *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively. However, Mean intensity was higher in male *S. budgetti* (7.00) than the female (4.60).

Generally, the prevalence of parasites (43.00%), percentage parasite recovered (56.50%), mean abundance (2.60) and mean intensity (5.90) were higher for the female fish samples compared to the males with the prevalence of parasites, percentage parasite recovered, mean abundance and mean intensity of (32.00%), (43.50%), (1.30) and (4.10), respectively. However, there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) by sex in the prevalence of parasites of all the fish species.

Table 5. Overall prevalence and intensity of parasites in male and female fish species from River Okpokwu

Fish species/sex	Number (%) of fish examined	Number (%) of fish Infested	Number (%) of parasite recovered	Mean abundance	Mean intensity
<i>S. budgetti</i>					
Male	32(56.10)	8(25.00)	56(44.80)	1.80	7.00
Female	25(43.90)	15(60.23)	69(55.20)	2.80	4.60
Total	57(100.00)	23(40.40)	125(100.00)	2.20	5.40
<i>C. nigrodigitatus</i>					
Male	31(64.60)	11(35.50)	39(43.30)	1.30	3.50
Female	17(35.40)	7(41.20)	51(56.70)	3.00	7.30
Total	48(100.00)	18(37.50)	90(100.00)	1.90	5.00
<i>B. docmac</i>					
Male	15(62.50)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	0.00	0.00
Female	9(37.50)	3(33.30)	22(100.00)	2.40	7.30
Total	24(100.00)	3(12.50)	22(100.00)	0.90	7.03
<i>H. bidorsalis</i>					
Male	19(59.40)	12(63.20)	32(58.20)	1.70	2.70
Female	13(40.60)	3(23.10)	23(41.80)	1.80	7.70
Total	32(100.00)	15(46.90)	55(100.00)	1.70	3.70
Overall summary					
Male	97(60.20)	31(32.00)	127(43.50)	1.30	4.10
Female	64(39.80)	28(43.00)	165(56.50)	2.60	5.90

Table 6 shows the prevalence of parasitic infection in relation to body size (total length) of each fish species from River Okpokwu. Highest prevalence (24.60%) and (20.80%) were recorded for bigger samples of *S. budgetti* and *C. nigrodigitatus* in the length groups of 13.00 to 15.90cm and 19.00 – 22.90cm while the least (5.30%) and (6.30%) were recorded for smaller samples of *S. budgetti* and *C. nigrodigitatus* in the length groups of 10.00 to 12.90cm

and 15.00 – 18.90cm, respectively. However, while the highest prevalence (8.30%) and (21.90%) were recorded for smaller samples of *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis* in the length groups of 10.00 to 20.90cm and 13.00 – 21.90cm, the least (4.20%) and (3.10%) were recorded in length groups of 41.00 to 50.90cm and 39.00 – 54.90cm for bigger samples of *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively.

Table 6. Prevalence of parasitic infection in relation to body size (total length of each fish species from River Okpokwu)

Fish species/length groups	Number (%) of fish examined	Number (%) of fish infested	Prevalence (%)
S. budgetti			
10.00 - 12.90	8(14.00)	3(37.50)	5.30
13.00 - 15.90	33(57.90)	14(42.42)	24.60
16.00 – 18.90	14(24.60)	6(10.50)	10.50
19.00 – 21.90	2(3.50)	0(0.00)	0.00
	57(100.00)	23(40.40)	40.40
C. nigrodigitatus			
15.00 - 18.90	13(27.10)	3(23.10)	6.30
19.00 - 22.90	18(37.50)	10(55.60)	20.80
23.00 – 25.90	11(22.90)	0(0.00)	0.00
26.00 – 28.90	6(12.50)	5(83.30)	10.40
	48(100.00)	18(37.50)	37.50
B. docmac			
10.00 - 20.90	13(54.20)	2(15.40)	8.30
21.00 - 30.90	4(16.70)	0(0.00)	0.00
31.00 – 40.90	3(12.50)	0(0.00)	0.00
41.00 – 50.90	4(16.70)	1(25.00)	4.20
	24(100.00)	3(12.50)	12.50
H. bidorsalis			
13.00 - 21.90	14(43.80)	7(50.00)	21.90
22.00 - 38.90	5(15.60)	2(40.00)	6.30
39.00 – 54.90	3(9.40)	1(33.30)	3.10
55.00 – 70.90	10(31.30)	5(50.00)	15.60
	32(100.00)	15(46.90)	46.90

DISCUSSION

The parasitofauna of four economically important fish species from River Okpokwu, Apa, Nigeria was evaluated using 161 fish samples comprising of 57 *S. budgetti*, 48 *C. nigrodigitatus*, 24 *B. docmac* and 32 *H. Bidorsalis*. The overall prevalence of parasites (36.60%) recorded in this study was low compared to the 47.00% recorded for *Malapterurus electricus* from upper River Benue (Omeji et al., 2014), 45.60% recorded for three Economically important fishes (*Ethmalosa fimbriata*, *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* and *Sarotherodon melanotheron*) from Lagos Lagoon, Southwestern Nigeria (Emmanuel and Aromodiu, 2017) 59.20% parasite prevalence recorded for fishes in the Niger River at Illushi, Edo State, a Niger Delta area in Nigeria (Oyedineke et al., 2010). It was, however, higher when compared with the records by other researchers from other rivers who reported overall parasite prevalence of 17.1% in the Osse River, 6.9% in the Okhuo River and 3.3% in the Great Kwa River (Okaka and Akhigbe, 1999; Edema et al., 2008; Ekanem et al., 2011).

The variations in the rate of parasitism could be due to the abiotic and biotic factors of the river where the fish samples were collected for parasitological study. This observation is in agreement with the reported work of Thompson and Larsen (2004). Unfavourable conditions may offset fish physiology favouring parasite infestation and invasion. Rohlenova et al., (2011) has reported that unfavourable temperature may alter fish physiology including immune function favouring parasite invasion. Pollution of the fish environment can also contribute to parasitizing of fish significantly (Kelly et al., 2010). The relatively high prevalence of parasites in the examined fish in this study may be as a result of the relatively high pollution of the River due to influx of unwanted materials into the river caused by flood, the dense vegetation along the river

which consists of trees, climbers, shrubs and grasses that could create room for the inhabitation of the intermediate hosts which harbour the infective larval stage of parasites, making them available to fish in the water. These could constitute potential sources of parasitic load of the fish species in the river. This assertion is in line with the reported work of Ejere et al., (2017) (Aghoghovwia, 2011; Olele, 2012). The high prevalence of nematode and cestode parasites may be attributed to the presence of appropriate intermediate host (Nmor et al., 2004), trophic linkage with the fish (Lagrue et al., 2011) and efficiency in transmission of parasite to fish host (Iyaji et al., 2009).

The highest prevalence of parasites in *S. budgetti* may be due to several factors such as feeding habit and diet of the fish (Rolbiecki, 2006), immuno-competence of the fish (Folstad and Karter, 1992), as well as the behavioural pattern of the fish (Ejere et al., 2017). Feeding on gastropods, worms, crustaceans and detritus by *S. budgetti* may facilitate infection by parasites (Lagrue et al., 2011). The high prevalence for *S. budgetti* may also be attributed to the suitability of the fish host in provision of appropriate ecological requirements of the parasite (Akinsanya et al., 2008; Lagrue et al., 2011). Similarly, the high nutritional content of the *S. budgetti* intestine may possibly account for their preference, restriction and abundance in them (Akinsanya et al., 2008).

The genera, *Camallanus* was present in all fish species and was the most abundant of all the parasites. Thus the parasite showed no generic specificity for fish species. The very small size of *Camallanus* and, possibly, low nutritional demand may have necessitated its non-site specificity as specificity generally is a product of adaptation (Lively and Dybdahl, 2000). Similar observation had been made by Ejere et al., (2017).

Differences in the number/percentage parasite load and prevalence of infection of

the infested body parts of the studied fish samples existed. Generally, highest number of parasites was recorded for the intestine of the infected fish samples while the least was recorded for the gill. The highest number of parasites recorded for the intestine of the infected fish samples in this study could be attributed to the favourable nutritional advantage presented by the hosts' intestine to the parasites; this assertion agrees with the findings of Solomon *et al.*, (2018), Agbabaka *et al.*, (2017), Kawe *et al.*, (2016) and Akinsanya *et al.* (2008), who made similar observations. The few parasites that were found on the gills could be due to the continuous movement of water current over the gills which may not encourage attachment, establishment and survival of parasites there. This observation is in line with the reported work of Onyedineke *et al.*, (2010) in their reported work on helminth parasites of some freshwater fish from River Niger at Illushi, Edo State, Nigeria.

Variation in percentage parasite load between the male and female fish species examined existed being higher in female *S. budgetti* (55.20%) *C. nigrodigitatus* (56.79% and *B. docmac* (100%) than the males with percentage parasite load of (44.89%), (43.30%) and (0.00%), respectively. The higher percentage parasites infestation recorded in the female than the male fish samples could be due to the physiological state of the females as most gravid females could have had reduced resistance to infection by parasites; this is because the immune system of the females is highly compromised during pregnancy. This agrees with the reported works of Solomon *et al.* (2018), Ogonna *et al.*, (2017) and Ayuba *et al.*, (2016).

However, percentage parasite load was higher for male *S. budgetti* (52.20%) than the female (41.80%).

Parasitism in fish has been reported to be sex biased, with males suffering greater susceptibility. This sex linked parasitism has been explained as resulting from difference in reproductive investment by male and

female fish (Simkova *et al.*, 2008). Immuno-suppression by steroid hormone during spawning in males has been suggested as a major factor contributing to the greater susceptibility of males to parasite invasion (Folstad and Karter, 1992). Other factors suggested include competition for mate (Folstad and Karter, 1992) and cost of territorial defense (Reimchen, 2001). This observation agrees with the reported work of Solomon *et al.*, (2018), Afolabi *et al.*, (2020), Omeji *et al.*, (2013), Kawe *et al.*, (2016) who reported more parasite infestation in males *Clarias gariepinus* than the female.

The overall parasite prevalence in females (43.00%) was also higher than that in males (31.6%). The observed higher overall parasite prevalence in females may be suggestive of difference in ecological requirements between the female and male fish (Iyaji *et al.*, 2009) and greater susceptibility of ovigerous females to parasite (Simkova *et al.*, 2008). However, the observed difference in parasite prevalence according to sex in this study was not significant ($p > 0.05$). The non-significant difference in parasite prevalence classified by sex is in line with the earlier observation by Akinsanya *et al.*, (2007) who recorded a non-significant ($p > 0.05$) difference in the infection rate of male (37.7%) and female (35.5%) *Malapterurus electricus* in Lekki Lagoon, Lagos State, Nigeria. In Bagauda Fish Farm, Kano, female *Clarias gariepinus* had higher occurrence of both the gill (20.7%) and gastrointestinal tract (34.6%) of parasites than that of the gill (11.8%) and gastrointestinal tract (23.6%) of males, although the difference was not significant ($p > 0.05$) (Bichi and Yelwa, 2010). Similarly, a non-significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in the infection rate of females and males of four fish species (*Puntius schwanefeldii*, *Puntius gonionotus*, *Hampala macrolepidoata* and *Notopterus notopterus*) examined at Tasik Merah,

Perak, Peninsular, Malaysia have been reported (Rahman and Saidin, 2011).

The size class related prevalence showed an increase in parasitic infections (24.60%) and (20.80%) in bigger samples of *S. budgetti* and *C. nigrodigitatus* in the length groups of 13.00 to 15.90cm and 19.00 – 22.90cm while the least (5.30%) and (6.30%) were recorded for smaller samples of *S. budgetti* and *C. nigrodigitatus* in the length groups of 10.00 to 12.90cm and 15.00 – 18.90cm, respectively. However, while the highest prevalence (8.30%) and (21.90%) were recorded for smaller samples of *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis* in the length groups of 10.00 to 20.90cm and 13.00 – 21.90cm, the least (4.20%) and (3.10%) were recorded in length groups of 41.00 to 50.90cm and 39.00 – 54.90cm for bigger samples of *B. docmac* and *H. bidorsalis*, respectively. The observed variation in parasitic prevalence among the various size groups (total length) of the fish samples used for the study may be attributed to the random selection of the fish samples that might have favoured the most parasitized samples and the possibility of repeated infection as the fish grew older. This agrees with the reported studies of Sikoki *et al.*, (2013), Bello *et al.*, (2011), Ekanem *et al.*, (2011) and Obano and Odiko (2004).

CONCLUSION

the relatively high prevalence of parasites in the River Okpokwu, Nigeria could be a menace to fish productivity in the Local Government area and Benue/Nigeria as a whole. Parasite invasion, attachment and establishment in a fish compromise the efficiency of the fish in preventing further infection, lowering the fish reproductive efficiency, feed utilization and economic devaluation of the fish. Therefore, in order to ensure maximum productivity of fish in River Okpokwu, further studies need to be embarked on so as to ascertain the major causes of the high rate of infection, and the appropriate measures to be taken to ensure better productivity.

Acknowledgement: None

Conflict of Interest: None

Source of Funding: None

REFERENCES

1. Afolabi, O.J., Olususi, F.C. and Odeyemi, O.O. (2020). Comparative study of African catfish parasites from cultured and natural habitats. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre* (2020) 44:163
2. Agbabiaka, L.A., Akande, T.T., Ekeocha, C.A. (2017). Assessment of parasites associated with African catfish farmed at Owerri federal constituency, Imo State Nigeria. *Agric. Biol. Jour. N. Amer.*; 8(5):168-172
3. Aghoghovwia, O. A. (2011): Physico-chemical characteristics of Warri River in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Issues and Agriculture in Developing Countries*, 3, 2, 40-46.
4. Ahmad, M.I (2007): Studies on some fresh water fishes, their habitats and parasites in Lake Chad Basin of Nigeria. Ph.D thesis submitted to the Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri.
5. Ajala, O.O., Fawole, O.O. (2014). Multiple infections of Helminths in the alimentary system of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1982) in tropical reservoir. *Int J Fisheries Aquacult* 6(6): 62-72
6. Akinsanya, B Hassan, A.A. Adeogun, A.O. (2008) Gastrointestinal Helminth Parasites of the fish *Synodontis clarias*(Siluriformes: Mochokidae) from Lekki lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria. *Int. J. Trop.* Vol. 56 (4): 2021-2026
7. Akinsanya, B., Otubanjo, O. A., Hassan, A. A. (2007): Helminth parasites of *Malapterurus electricus* (Malapteruridae) from Lekki Lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria. *Journal of American Science*, 3, 3, 1-6
8. Arthur, J.R., Albert E (1994). A survey of the parasites of Greenland halibut *Reinhardtius hippoglossoides* caught off Atlantic Canada, with notes on their zoogeography in this fish. *Can. J. Zool.* 72: 765-778
9. Ayuba, V.O., Omeji, S., Kwaghvihi, O.B. (2016). Parasites of *Heterotis niloticus* from

- the Lower River Benue at Makurdi. *Octa J Biosci.*; 4(2):75-77.
10. Bello-Olusoji OA, Aderiye BK, Borede A.A, Oyekanmi F.B. (2011). Ectoparasitic Studies of Pond Cultured and Wild Tilapias. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture* 3(12):225-230
 11. Bichi A.H., Dawaki, S.S. (2010). A survey of the ectoparasites on the gills, skin and fins of *Oreochromis niloticus* at Bagauda fish farm, Kano, Nigeria. *Bayero J Pure Appl Sci* 3(1):83–86
 12. Bichi, A. H and Ibrahim, A.A (2009). A survey of ecto and intestinal parasites of tilapia zillii (gervias) in tiga lake, kano, Northern Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 2(1): 79 – 82
 13. Bichi, A. H and Ibrahim, A.A (2009). A survey of ecto and intestinal parasites of tilapia zillii (gervias) in tiga lake, kano, Northern Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 2(1): 79 – 82
 14. Bichi, A.H. and Yelwa, S.I. (2010). Incidence of piscine parasites on the gills and gastrointestinal tract of *Clarias gariepinus* (teugels) at bagauda fish farm, kano. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 3(1): 104 – 107
 15. Bush, A.O., K.D. Lafferty, J.M. Lotz and A.W. Shostak, 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis *et al. revisited*. *J. Parasitol.*, 83: 575-583.
 16. Echi, P. C., Eyo, J. E., Okafor, F. C. (2009 a): Co-parasitism and morphometrics of three clinostomatids Digenea: Clinostomatidae; in *Sarotherodon melanotheron* from a tropical freshwater lake. *Animal Research International*, 6, 2, 982-986.
 17. Echi, P. C., Okafor, F. C., Eyo, J. E. (2009 b): Co-infection and morphometrics of three clinostomatids Digenea: Clinostomatidae; in *Tilapia guinensis* Bleeker, 1862 from Opi lake, Nigeria. *BioResearch*, 7, 1, 432-436.
 18. Edeh, C., Solomon,R.J. (2016). Endoparasites of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias gariepinus* found in Utako flowing gutter, *Direct Research Journal of Agricultural Food Science*. 4(12):361–373
 19. Edema, C. U., Okaka, C. E., Oboh, I. P., Okogub, B. O. (2008): A preliminary study of parasitic infections of some fishes from Okhuo River, Benin City, Nigeria. *International Journal of Biomedical Health Science*, 4, 3, 107-112.
 20. Ejere, V.C. Aguzie, O.C. Ivoke, N. Ekeh, N.F. Ezenwaji, N.F., Onoja, U.S. Eyo, J.E. (2017). Parasitofauna of five freshwater fishes in a Nigerian freshwater ecosystem. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries*, 2014, 72, 17 – 24
 21. Ekanem, A. P., Eyo, V. O., Sampson, A. F. (2011): Parasites of landed fish from great Kwa River, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*, 3, 12, 225-230.
 22. Emmanuel, B.E. and Aromodiu, H.A.W. (2017). Parasites of Three Economically Important Fishes (*Ethmalosa fimbriata*, *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* and *Sarotherodon melanotheron*) from Lagos Lagoon, Southwestern Nigeria. *Applied Tropical Agriculture*. Pp. 52-62
 23. Folstad, I., Karter, A. J. (1992): Parasites, bright males and immunocompetence handicap. *American Naturalist*, 139, 603-622.
 24. Idoko, A.F., Garba, A.M. and Mukhtar, A.H. (2018). Determination of common external parasites of *Clarias gariepinus* and *Oreochromis niloticus* in Bauchi metropolis. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*. 6(6)
 25. Iyaji, F. O., Etim, L., Eyo, J. E. (2009): Parasite assemblages in fish hosts. *Bio-Research*, 7, 2, 561-570.
 26. Kawe, S.M., God'spower, R.O., Balarabe, M.R., Akaniru, R.I. (2016). Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth parasites of *Clarias gariepinus* in Abuja, Nigeria. *Sokoto J Vet Sci* 14(2):26–33
 27. Kelly, D. W., Poulin, R., Tompkins, D. M., Townsend, C. R. (2010): Synergistic effects of glyphosate formulation and parasite infections and survival. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 47, 498-504.
 28. Koskivaara, M. (1992): Environmental factors affecting monogeneans parasite on freshwater fishes. *Parasitology Today*, 8, 10, 339-342.
 29. Lafferty, K. D. (2008). Ecosystem consequences of fish parasites. *Journal of Fish Biology* 73:2083-2093.
 30. Lagrue, C., Kelly, D.W., Hicks, A., Poulin, R. (2011). Factors influencing infection patterns of tropically transmitted parasites among a fish community: host diet, host –

- parasite compatibility or both? *Fish Biol* 79:466–485
31. Lively, C. M., Dybdahl, M. F. (2000): Parasite adaptation to locally common host genotypes. *Nature*, 405, 679-681
 32. Marcogliese, D. J. 2002. Parasites of fishes in fresh water. Ecological Monitoring and Assessment Network (EMAN) Parasitology Module Steering Committee, Parasitology section, Canadian society of Zoogists <http://www.eman.rese.ca/eman/ecotools/protocols/freshwater/parasites/intro.htm>. Accessed on 20th June 2016.
 33. Nmor, J. C., Egwunyenga, A. O., Ake, J. E. G. (2004): Observation of the intestinal helminth parasites of cichlid in the upper reaches of River Orogodo, a freshwater body in Delta State, Southern Nigeria. *Tropical Freshwater Biology*, 13, 131-136.
 34. Obano E.E, Odiko A.E. (2004;). Endoparasites of some culturable fish species in Ogba River, Benin City, Nigeria. *Nig J of Appl Sci*. 22:341-343
 35. Ogonna, C.A., Emmanuel, I.N., Michael, D.A. (2017). Survey of ectoparasites of cultured fish from selected farms in Ebonyi State: potential for food and nutrient security. *Int J Res Pharm Biosci* 4(7):1–6
 36. Okaka, C. E., Akhigbe, J. E. (1999): Helminth parasites of some tropical freshwater fish from Osse River in Benin, Southern Nigeria. *Tropical Freshwater Biology*, 8, 41-48
 37. Olele, N. F. (2012): Concentration of some heavy metals in Escravos River, Warri, Delta State, Nigeria. *Journal of Animal Scientist*, 1, 1, 1-4.
 38. Omeji S, Solomon SG, Uloko C. Comparative Study on the endoparasitic infestation in *Clarias gariepinus* collected from earthen and concrete ponds in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. *J of Agri. and Vet. Sci*. 2013; 2(1):45-49
 39. Omeji, S., Garba, A.A., Agbo, J.O. (2018). Endoparasitic fauna and Condition factor of two fish species from Lower River Benue, Nigeria. *International Journal of Life Sciences Research*. Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp: (368-375)
 40. Omeji, S., Yusufu, I. I. and Swem, M.A. (2022). Prevalence and Intensity of Gastrointestinal Helminths of *Clarias gariepinus* from selected Fish Farms in Makurdi, Benue State. *AJBAR* Vol 1(2), 2022: 104-115.
 41. Oniye, S.J., Adebote, D.A., Ayanda, O.I. (2004). Helminth parasites of *Clarias gariepinus* in Zaria, Nigeria. *J Aquat Sci* 19(2):71–76
 42. Onyedineke, N. E. ; Obi, U. ; Ofoegbu, P. U. and Ukogo, I. (2010). Helminth Parasites of some Freshwater Fish from River Niger at Illushi, Edo State, Nigeria. *Journal of American Science*: 6(3)
 43. Osimen, E.C and Anagha, L.I. (2020). Endoparasites of fresh water fishes from rivers in Edo State, Nigeria. *Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences* 18(4): 197 - 204.
 44. Paperna, I. (1996): Parasites, infection and diseases of fishes in Africa-an update. CIFA Tech Paper, 31, 1-200.
 45. Rahman, W. A., Saidin, H. (2011): Relationship between sex and parasite intensity in four freshwater fish species from TasikMerah, Peninsular Malaysia. *World Journal of Zoology*, 6, 4, 370-374.
 46. Reimchen, T. E. (2001): Ecological causes of sex-biased parasitism in three spine stickle back. *Biological Journal of the Linnaeus Society*, 73, 51-63.
 47. Rohlenova, K., Morand, S., Hyršl, P., Tolarová, S., Flajšhans, M., Sinkova, A. (2011): Are fish immune systems really affected by parasites? An immunological study of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Parasites and Vectors*, 40, 120-138.
 48. Rolbiecki, L. (2006): Correlation between the occurrence of parasites and body length of roach carp beam European perch, zander and ruffe in the Vistula Lagoon estuary. *International Journal of Oceanography and Hydrobiology*, 35, 3, 257-267.
 49. S. Omeji, L.O. Tihamiyu, P.A. Annune and S.G. Solomon (2014). Ecto and intestinal parasites of *malapterurus electricus* from upper River Benue. *Journal of Global Biosciences* Volume 3, Number 6, pp. 895-903.
 50. Sikoki F.D, Nzeako S.O, Nchege B. (2013). Evaluation of Nematode Parasitemia in *Oreochromis niloticus* from lower New Calabar River, Port Harcourt, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Intl J Sci Research in Environ Sci (IJSRES)* 1(10):263-267.
 51. Simkova, A., Lafond, T., Ondračkova, M., Jurajda, P., Ottova, E., Morand, S. (2008): Parasitism, life history traits and immune

Omeji, S. et.al. Evaluation of parasitofauna of four economically important fish species (*Synodontis Budgetti*, *Chrysichthys Nigrodigitatus*, *Bagrus Docmac* and *Heterobranchus Bidorsalis*) from River Okpokwu, Apa, Nigeria

defense in cyprinid fish from Central Europe. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 8, 29-40

52. Solomon, S.G., Omeji, S., Attai, A.F. (2018). Endoparasitic Helminths of *Bagrus bayad* from lower river Benue Makurdi, Nigeria. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research*. Volume 3; Issue 3; Page No. 50-53
53. Thompson, L. C., Larsen, R. (2004): Fish habitat in freshwater stream. Farm Water Quality Planning FWQP; Reference Sheet

10.3, Publication 8112. University of California, California.

How to cite this article: Omeji S., Kolndadacha O.D., Adadu M.O. Evaluation of parasitofauna of four economically important fish species (*synodontis budgetti*, *chrysichthys nigrodigitatus*, *bagrus docmac* and *heterobranchus bidorsalis*) from river Okpokwu, Apa, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Review*. 2022; 9(8): 753-765. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20220863>
