

Challenges Involved in Adapting and Implementing an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems

Chaitali Kotadia

Senior Finance Analyst, Finance Department, MSD (UK) Ltd. London, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) continues to be extensively accustomed to improving productivity, boost the efficiency of economic operations, and reduce business costs. ERP implementation is extremely advantageous but maintaining individuals' benefits are extremely hard for most organisations at after implementation phase. However, an unsuccessful implementation of Enterprise Resource Planning System (ERP) can frequently cause financial disaster. The purpose of this information is to review on crucial success factors (CSF) and risks (RF) of ERP system implementation and supply a general clarification of complexities such implementation. By analysing crucial success factor and risks pointed out in literature, CSF and RFs are mapped to project complexities concerned in almost any ERP implementation. This article shows that for a number of industries frequently challenges were centred on top management support, change management techniques, effective communications, and ERP systems user training but missing internal expertise, and conflicts along with other priorities were even the critical factors which performed a huge role in ERP implementation.

Keywords: complicated projects, ERP, conceptual framework, critical success factors, managing services.

I. INTRODUCTION

ERP systems, also referred to as enterprise systems (ES), are some of the fundamental necessary business information technologies that emerged over the past decade^[1]. With Workwise ERP software, users get access to a centralized database everywhere you're employed and from

various devices as well as your laptop, tablet, or mobile phone. The adaptability of the ERP software programs is crucial because it increases productivity and makes information accessible wherever you are. Use ERP in your own home, at work, wherever, through our mobile-friendly solution and application Reworking a core business method needs intensive cooperation one of the peers and therefore for ERP adoption way in which involves multiple sections, require a confrontation of reality, each exterior and internal^[2]. Total price of possession that is crucial is measure success associated with a product mainly based implementation; it will exclusively be measured if all the inner and exterior variables are believed about correctly.^[3]

You will find terribly restricted previous studies in work ERP inside the project management software domain or going through the integrated applying project management practices. The current project management software methodology didn't give us techniques and tools for effective ERP implementations. Adopting and applying acceptable project managements concepts, tools, and methods to handle giant and complex application project comes is among the important management selections for managing any enterprise application implementation and project managers are necessary to be empowered to complete. The ERP implementation is not just an "IT project" it's proper and really should be contacted essentially. ERP systems are integrated applications which impact around the complete organisation^[4].

A large amount of analysis continues to be done throughout last decade in regard to the success and failures of ERP implementations [5]. Most of this information originated from survey and situation studies whilst not entering fundamentals on impact of project management software techniques and tools for ERP project. An idea of ERP implementation approach should address the integrity and use of a task management methodology, establish relationship with implementation partners and vendors and represent methods for authorization, fairness, and accountability through the implementation existence cycle. The outcomes of that relationship need to be the project manager is just control and simultaneously, management methodology is optimally established method and procedure to handle a task relating multiple partners without direct classified reporting structure. Three primary parts affects the quantity of satisfaction of the ERP user: "interaction using the IT department", "pre-implementation processes", and "ERP product and adaptability"[6]. In this research article, I am inclined to conceive to reconcile these various arguments, following advanced organisation structure involved with any enterprise application implementations.

Frequently ERP implementations may need going against standard understanding to be effective[7]. But no additional study continues to be completed to set up a substitute methodology to apply ERP systems. This information will concentrate on ERP project like a complex adaptive system and each ERP projects are completely different and want project governance and management in position to adjust to interconnection, communication and management over completely different stakeholders committed any non-hierarchical relationship.

2. OVERVIEW

2.1 ERP RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT

Three distinct analysis courses area are recognized for ERP connected research. The primary supplies a comprehensive review of the ERP systems[8]. These articles cowl such aspects as analysis agendas, motivations and expectations and proposals in order to analyse the value of ERP systems. [9].

The 2nd courses concentrate on all the facts associated with ERP implementations as well as their relative success and cost. The articles in this research embrace topics like implementation procedures, vital success factors, difficulties and complications in ERP implementations and productive means of effective ERP implementations. Esteves and Pastor (2001) [9] classify the publications connected using the implementation part into four primary topics: implementation approaches, implementation success, alternative implementation problems, and implementation situation studies.

The third-courses concentrates on the theoretical analysis mixers are designed to hide aspects like use of modelling tools used in ERP contexts, start-up business modelling approaches and comparisons between processes.

Critical success factors are very well studied. There's the necessity to develop methods to place in apply and manage the vital success factors known in certain studies. [10].

Most often documented risks for ERP implementations really are a) insufficient selection of application, b) ineffective proper thinking and designing proper strategies, c) ineffective project management software techniques and unhealthy managing control, d) insufficient amendment in project management and e) insufficient coaching. All of a and b activities area unit considered to be project governance responsibilities[11], c might be a project management software activity which might exclusively become

successful if project leader empowers project managers correctly, and d and e area unit project management software activity. Other recognised management practices with relationships with implantation success are clearly defined it strategy, proper alignment, and management commitments [12].

ERP implementations support multiple business areas and introduce business method changes inside the organisation. ERP implementations are likely to enhance business process consultants and answer suppliers will exclusively provide the expertise how you can understand base the way the ERP package works. Readiness land for amendment was discovered to be elevated by 2 factors: structure commitment and perceived personal ability [13]. But product understanding concerning the ERP application is supplied by software system seller and consultants and could take part in the governance method.

2.2 ERP SYSTEMS AS CROSS-ORGANISATION INTEGRATOR

Mankins and author (2005) [18] proven to make certain sensible performance inside a company, it is required to make use of a rigorous framework and employ common business processes during any system implementation. ERP systems are software programs made of countless modules, e.g., human sources, sales, finance, and production, providing cross-organisation integration of understanding through entrenched business processes. Also, implementation should balance potential to deal with change and use of change management needed, will exclusively be performed having a pluralist approach. Thus, ERP project management software should have general pluralist method of manage advanced. It comes down like ERP implementations.

ERP systems give continuous integration of economic operates by supplying them accessibility understanding they've [15]. Organisations

exploitation ERP have achieved savings through the elimination of several and sometimes incompatible inheritance systems additionally as streamlining business processes [16].

The prosperity of ERP comes is furthermore measured in what proportion financial, productivity gain the implementation produced for that ERP adoptive parent. Project management software methodology for ERP systems thus should use all stakeholders so overall price of implementation are frequently understood across the organisation.

2.3 ERP IMPLEMENTATION AS A COMPLICATED PROJECT

Applying ERP system are extremely difficult and complex, particularly in bigger organizations. Nobody that has forward vision and understanding want to volunteer for any project which requires lots of effort to complete an effect that nobody is pleased with. This article doesn't aim to provide a definitive meaning of complicated ERP comes either. It aims to become inclusive rather of exclusive, to inspire conversation of all of the size quality since it pertains to ERP project in accordance with CSF and RFs, also create a situation for differing types qualities of complexities concerned in almost any ERP implementation and also the way better to manage and govern such implementations.

The enterprises area unit compensated to create wealth, not to management prices and projects will be to make wealth inside the enterprise. This apparent the truth is not reflected in ancient measurements [17]. Business models were thought so that you can create choices and would potentially have the ability to run a lot of the company. These designs include drastically modified tasks associated with managing a project. Consider ERP touches many business areas, project managers area unit required to focus of creating wealth for that ERP parent and thus success of ERP implementation is measured

by the wealth the ERP implementation produced for that ERP parent.

There are numerous research discussing complicated projects within the domain of project management software and shows four types of complexities concerned during a task. Sauer and Reich (2009) [19] demonstrated which assume project managers to own mental feature and emotive characteristics to re-think their apply and whether a substitute type of individual is likely to be must be tomorrow's IT project manager. Sauer and Reich (2009) [19] with each other demonstrated that project managers should focus on concentrating on ultimate value, purchase of trust, devolved, collective responsibility and temperament to constantly adapt. All individual characteristics not in favour of the fundamental construct of project like a brief term finish endeavour with specific begin and finish. For of this research article, I am inclined to consider four types of complexities: structural, technical, directional, and temporal complexities.

3. WHY ERP PROJECTS ARE COMPLICATED PROJECTS TO IMPLEMENT IN ORGANISATION?

3.1 OVERVIEW

You will find couple of research articles focus on why applying ERP an obscure project. Skibniewski and Ghosh (2009) [19] known sources are essential from coaching, application service supplier and support for any effective implementation, Ifinedo and Nahar (2009) [20], known the significance of technology organisation for any effective implementation. Lui and Chan (2008) known the significance of business method reengineering [21].

If we consider ERP implementation like a system, it's complex because it comprised of a lot of parts that interact inside a non-simple way. The success of ERP depends upon the way the product is integrated along with other applications within the enterprise. The combination can frequently be undervalued and for that

reason add complexities. Thus, applying an identical definition, ERP comes carries using its multiple sub projects (small business mapping, technical infrastructure development, modification management to a couple of) so they delay the effective modelling of complicated projects, whose conduct is around the far side the sum of the components and whose response to alterations in inputs is difficult for that human mind to calculate.

Following Baccarini (1996)'s definition, project complexness is "consisting of the numerous varied reticulate parts". This operationalises when it comes to differentiation-the number of assorted parts - and mutuality - the quality of inter-relatedness between these parts [22]. ERP can exclusively become successful if of those components work along. For a detailed discussion on project complexness, that's outlined victimization of parts concerned inside the project and mutuality of parts, readers square measure observed, is going to be matched with number of parts involved and mutuality in almost any ERP implementation [19].

ERP project involves multiple business and technical areas as symbolized before and everybody areas won't follow same pattern of existence cycles inside the implementation. When it comes to ERP project structural complexness, "differentiation" means monitoring number of hierarchical levels, number of tasks that square measure interconnected from totally different hierarchical level connected effective control over these tasks in a cost-effective work break lower structure. The most crucial challenge comes from project organisation (composed of multiple parties e.g., ERP parent, ERP vendor, coaching supplier etc, therefore forth pointed out as actors from the ecosystem), scheduling, interdependencies, and contract management. Structural complexities arise because completely different sub-projects concerned in almost any project may be in a distinct degree of project existence cycle at same purpose over time [23].

Interdependencies would arise to coordinate completely different actors concerned inside the ERP implementation.

The primary technical challenge featured in almost any ERP system would be that the merchandise existence cycle may not complement adoption existence cycle. When it comes to technological complexness, "differentiation" means the amount and number of inputs, outputs, tasks, or specialties "interdependency" could be the interdependencies between tasks, teams, technologies, or inputs. Technical complexities arise once technical infrastructure required for ERP is non-compatible with existing setting of ERP parent (Hawking 2007) ^[24] and thus mutuality with existing technical design. When it comes to directional complexness, "differentiation" could be mean the unshared goals and goal methods, unclear objective and hidden agendas between completely different actors concerned in almost any ERP implementation. ERP requires business method changes to guidelines as settled by ERP vendor's supported business method which cannot complement ERP adopters' business method. Modified business method may not profit all sections or locations equally^[25]. Directional complexness depends on management's objective in the ERP success. When it comes to temporal complexness, "differentiation" could be characterised by shifting setting, and proper directions that square measure outdoors the treating of the work team, e.g., ERP vender dynamic technology platform from the project that will need affiliate upgrade from the setting utilized by ERP adopters.

3.2 ASSESSMENT OF CRITICAL AND RISK FACTORS

ERP comes usually comes at the expense of schedule delays, and sharp project terminations because of poor selection of package and insufficient management support. ERP projects involve business method changes, modification

management and technical risks, want correct project governance in position and empower project managers to complete. Project committee and project managers must have information of applying complexness theory- structural, directional, technical, and temporal processes, procedures, and policies and to put them into action strictly in the initial stage from the project.

Adopting a complexity theory mindset affects practice in various and frequently counter intuitive ways. Just like individuals in the market are empowered to create their very own purchases, in compliance with complexity theory, project managers should be permitted to react in independent, self-organized ways to developments in individual single projects. The task of ERP implementation project like a complex project needs effective across all boundaries - within ERP adopter, ERP vendor, consultants, training along with other support organizations. Streamlining a governance and management structure that satisfies all stakeholders, involves multiple organizations inside a with time period is really a struggle

Here are the ten most frequently documented critical factors for ERP implementations, detailing project management software and project governance challenges.

I. SUPPORT FROM THE HIGHER MANAGEMENT

Higher management support is must throughout the implementation. The work must receive approval from top management and align with proper business goals. Top management must openly and clearly find out the project like a main concern^[26]. Senior management must commit their very own participation and readiness to allocate valuable sources towards the implementation effort.

Managers should draw new objectives and goals for employee. They should check and get detailed updated progress report time to time. The function

from the new system and structures ought to be conveyed to employees. New organisational structures, roles and responsibilities ought to be established and approved. New policies ought to be set by top management to determine new systems within the organization. In occasions of conflict, managers should mediate between parties and resolve the problem quickest and fairest possible way.

II. ORGANISATION PLAN AND VISION

An obvious business design and guideline to manoeuvre forward the work is needed during the entire ERP existence cycle. A company plan that summaries suggested proper and tangible benefits, sources, costs, risks, and timeline is essential. There ought to be a transparent business model showing the way the business should operate behind the implementation effort. Project mission ought to be associated with business needs and may be obviously mentioned. Goals and benefits ought to be identified and tracked throughout the implementation process. The strategic business plan will make work simpler and effect on work^[27].

III. EFFECTIVE AND CLEAR COMMUNICATION

Effective and obvious communication is crucial to ERP implementation. At every stage, important and key information must be conveyed. The handling of communication, education and expectations are important within the entire organisation. User input should be managed while gathering their needs, comments, reactions, and approval. Communication includes the formal promotion of project teams and also the advertisement of project progress to all of those other organisation^[28]. Middle managers have to communicate its importance and employees ought to be told ahead of time concerning the scope, objectives, activities, and updates, and inform the modification will occur.

IV. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Good project management software is really a way to succeed. Every individual or team should get responsibility they are driving success in project management software. First, scope ought to be established and controlled^[27]. The scope should be well-defined and become restricted. Including the quantity of the systems implemented, participation of every team, and quantity of business process entertainment needed. Any suggested changes ought to be evaluated against business benefits and, so far as possible, implemented in a later phase^[26].

The critical pathways from the project ought to be determined, and also the timeliness of project and also the timely decisions ought to be managed. Deadlines should be achieved to assist remain in the schedule and budget should be maintain.

Project management software ought to be maintained with synchronised training and active Hr department participation. Also, there must be planning of well-defined tasks and accurate estimation of needed effort. Getting early measures of success is essential^[26]. Rapid, successive, and contained deliverables are critical. Continues budget monitoring, maintained the scheduled deadlines and target would be the key factors.

V. PROJECT LEADER

Someone ought to be put into charge and also the project leader should a master from the project through the organisation. There must be a professional champion who has the ability to create goals and authorise change. The company leader ought to be in control so there is a company perspective. The best choice must constantly put efforts to resolve the variations and manage resistance.

VI. APPROPRIATE BUSINESS AND LEGACY SYSTEMS

A reliable and efficient business setting is important. Appropriate business and legacy systems are essential within the

initial chartering phase from the project. Business infrastructure involving current business processes, organisation structure and culture, affect success. It determines the IT and organisational change needed for achievement [28].

VII. TRANSFORMATION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND CULTURE

Through the project existence cycle the alteration in management is incredibly critical. A culture discussing exactly the same values and customary goal is useful to success. Business must have a strong corporate uniqueness and should be open change. A force on quality, a strong computing ability, along with a robust readiness to simply accept new technology would help with implementation efforts. Management should actually have a robust dedication to make use of the system for achieving business aims [29]. Users should be trained, and concerns should be addressed through regular communication, dealing with change agents, leveraging corporate culture, and identifying job aids for a number of users [27].

The users should engage in design and implementation of economic processes and also the ERP system, and formal training and education ought to be provided to assist them to achieve this. Education ought to be important from the beginning of the work, and time and money ought to be allocated to several types of education and training [29].

Training and professional growth and development of the IT personnel is crucial. Their training ought to be emphasized, with substantial purchase of training and reskilling of developers in software design and methodology. Employees need training to determine the way the system can change business processes. There ought to be further training and native support for workers in addition to managers during implementation.

VIII. LIMITED PROCESS REENGINEERING AND MINIMUM CUSTOMISATION

It's expected that business processes are formed to suit the brand-new system. Arranging the company tactic to the program implementation is crucial. Enterprise ought to be keen to alter the company to suit the program with minimal customization and really should be recognized without modifying it so far as possible

Broad reengineering should commence before selecting a system. In addition to configuration, a lot of reengineering must take place iteratively to consider advantage of enhancements in the new system. When deciding on the package, vendor support and the amount of previous implementers should be thought about. [29]

IX. SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, TESTING AND TROUBLESHOOTING

Software development, testing and troubleshooting is vital, beginning inside the project phase. The overall ERP architecture ought to be established before deployment, with the foremost important needs from the implementation. This prevents from reconfiguration at each stage of project implementation.

There is definitely an option to make on the quantity of functionality and method of link the machine to legacy systems. Also, to best meet business needs, companies may integrate other specialized software products using the ERP suite. Interfaces for commercial computer programs or legacy systems may need to be coded in-house if they are unavailable inside the market.

The organisation applying ERP should fully trust vendors and consultants to solve software problems. Quick response, persistence, perseverance, problem-solving, and firefighting abilities are essential. Energetic and complex software testing eases implementation.

X. MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE

Finally, monitoring and assessment enter into action in the shakedown phase. Milestones and goals are essential to keep an eye on progress. Accomplishments ought to be measured against project goals. Project management software-based criteria should be employed to quantify against completion dates, costs, and quality. Then operational criteria should be employed to quantify from the production system. Monitoring and feedback range from the exchange of knowledge between your project team people and analysis of user feedback [28].

Management needs bear in mind around the aftereffect of ERP on business performance. Reports or approaches for assessing data have to be designed. These reports ought to be created according to established metrics. It has to include effective measurable project goals that meet small business and therefore are reasonable. Furthermore, performance ought to be associated with compensation.

Apart of the above-mentioned CSFs in applying the ERP system, there's also various risks to be reviewed from project complexities perspective. Listed below are some ERP risks that need considering before applying the ERP.

I. FAIL TO RESTRUCTURE BUSINESS PROCESSES TO FIT THE SOFTWARE:

There's a powerful craving the new ERP product is appropriate towards the current process. However, this is hardly the situation. It's very difficult in many businesses to change the present processes and also to personalize the ERP system to suit to current processes is really a pricey and time-consuming project. In my opinion this really is the greatest ERP implementation risk.

II. LACK OF TOP MANAGEMENT SUPPORT

Support of top management is essential for achieving effective ERP implementation. It is simple for senior managers to become sponsor but very tough to allow go the important team member for pilot testing or superuser training. The insufficient top management support to provide time from the desk because they are too anxious to overlook sales, delivery to customer is among the most typical ERP implementation risk.

III. INADEQUATE TRAINING AND RESKILLING OF ERP USERS

Accessibility to training might be unmanageable of ERP adopter and could add project complexities to schedule individuals' tasks within the project plan. There should be enough training in new ERP system so accounting team and other operation team knows how to use the system and get best out of it.

IV. LACK OF FACILITY TO RECRUIT AND HOLD QUALIFIED TALENT

Most of the organizations thought it was difficult to recruit and retain good ERP experts due to their costly pay rates. The developers of greatest market share ERP vendors are very popular and moves in one consultancy project to a different. So not finding an in-house ERP specialist could be significant ERP implementation risk. Also give him proper training and available online resources so that ERP specialist gain more knowledge and share with other team members.

V. INEFFECTIVE STRATEGIC THINKING AND PLANNING STRATEGIC

ERP user should recognize all the complexities as these complexities take part in various CSFs. ERP user should think about all of the factors involved with ERP implementation ecosystem and be sure proper governing and management process is within place involving each factor involved.

VI. INEFFECTIVE PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Applying ERP is definitely an enormous project and last anything between 18 and 24 several weeks or even more. Ineffective project management software in almost any clients is significant ERP implementation risk. The level of chance of project cost and time overrun because of the lack of a measurement system for evaluating and monitoring project risk rest on project size, skill using the new technology and project structure.

The above-mentioned CSFs and RF clearly justify that the documented CSFs and RFs will exclusively be observed from the fancy project perspective and improve project manager's knowledge of the difficulties they are likely to face in applying the ERP suites. This research can offer project managers a definite perspective from the challenges and facilitate greater improve ways in which to consider proper care of individuals challenges.

CONCLUSION

This information is valuable to researchers and practitioners thinking about applying Enterprise Resource Planning systems in an organisation. It provides very fascinating results by identifying the standards with an effect on the effective implementation of ERP in organisation. For effectively implement an ERP system, a company look at challenges discussed in this article and make certain align with the employees in all departments. The article shows that ERP project management software is better understood one of the contexts of ecological complexities. The content furthermore shows that selecting project management software approach can be a few reviewing in the entire eco- system rather of helpful goals from the ERP implement

The purpose of the conferred analysis is to meet the requirement of a comprehensive framework for ERP systems to become reviewed like a fancy

project. A theoretical framework for distinctive and classifying management method following important success factors were created also it forms the thought of comprehending the spectrum of ERP implementations. It shows however near to real-time governance and management might be incorporated inside the project management and governance method and create a system to capture and analyse issues. A substitute body of knowledge connected with governance connected control over complicated ERP implementations needs to be designed to make sure greater coordination between many actors concerned within an ERP implementation.

REFERENCES

1. Chung, B. Y.; Skibniewski, M. J.; Lucas, H. C.; Kwak, Y. H.. Analysing Enterprise Resource Planning System Implementing Success Factors in the Engineering-Construction Industry, *ASCE Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 2008, 22(6): p. 373–382. Available from doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2008)22:6(373)
2. Chen, C. C.; Law, C.; Yang, S. C. Managing ERP Implementation Failure: A Project Management Perspective, *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 2009 56(1): p. 157–170. Available from doi:10.1109/TEM.2008.2009802
3. Jasilionienė, R.; Tamošiūnienė, R. Evaluation of customer relationship system efficiency: Applying of total cost of ownership approach, *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 2009, 10(4): p.343–347. Available from doi:10.3846/1611-1699.2009.10.343-347
4. Aloini, D.; Dulmin, R.; Miminno, V.. Risk Management in ERP project introduction: *Information and Management*, 2007, 44(6): p 547–567. Available from DOI:10.1016/j.im.2007.05.004
5. Helo, P. Anussornnitisarn, P.; Phusavat, K. Expectation and reality in ERP implementation: consultant and solution provider perspective, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 2008,108(8):p.1045–1059. Available from doi:10.1108/02635570810904604

6. Longinidis, P.; Gotzamani, K. ERP user satisfaction issues: insights from a Greek industrial giant, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 2009, 109(5): p. 628–645. Available from doi:10.1108/02635570910957623
7. Luo, W.; Strong, D. M. A framework for evaluating ERP implementation choices, *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 2004, 51(3): p. 322–333. Available from doi:10.1109/TEM.2004.830862
8. Gupta, A. Enterprise resource planning: the emerging organisational value systems, *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 2000, 100(3): p. 114. Available from doi:10.1108/02635570010286131
9. Esteves, J.; Pastor, J. Enterprise resource planning systems research: an annotated bibliography, *Communications of AIS*, 2001 7(8): p. 2–51. Available from DOI: 10.17705/1CAIS.00708
10. Moon, Y. B.. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP): a review of the literature, *International Journal of Management and Enterprise Development*, 2007 4(3): p. 235–264. Available from doi:10.1504/IJMED.2007.012679
11. Grembergen, W. V.; Haes, S. D. *Implementing Information Technology Governance: Models, Practices and Cases*, 2008. IGI Publishing.
12. Bernroider, E. W. N. IT governance for enterprise resource planning supported by the DeLone–McLean model of information systems success, 2008, p. 257–269. Available from doi:10.1016/j.im.2007.11.004
13. Kwahk, K.-Y.; Lee, J.-N. The role of readiness for change in ERP implementation: Theoretical bases and empirical validation, *Information & Management*, 2008 45(7): p.474–481. Available from doi:10.1016/j.im.2008.07.002
14. Mankins, M. C.; Steele, R. Turning great strategy into great performance, *Harvard Business Review*, 2005 83(7): p.64–72.
15. Ghosh, S.; Negahban, S.; Tatari, O.; Skibniewski, M.. Analysis of Key Performance Indicators of the Integration between building information modeling and enterprise information system, in 6th Innovation in AEC Conference, 2010 June, 9–11 2010 (in Press).
16. Jenson, R.; Johnson, R. *Enterprise Systems Integration*. Taylor & Francis, Inc.
17. Karahanna, E.; Agarwal, R.; Angst, C. M. 2006. Reconceptualizing compatibility beliefs in Technology Acceptance Research, 2002, *MIS Quarterly* 30(4): p. 781–804.
18. Drucker, P. *The Information Executives Truly Need*, *Harvard Business Review*, 1995 73(1):p. 54–62. Available from doi:10.1108/14635770310484971
19. Sauer, C.; Reich, B. R. Rethinking IT project management: Evidence of a new mindset and its implications, *International Journal of Project Management*, 2009, 27(2): p.182–193. Available from doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2008.08.003
20. Skibniewski, M. J.; Ghosh, S. Determination of Key Performance Indicators with Enterprise Resource Planning Systems in Engineering Construction Firms, *ASCE Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 2009, 135(10):p.965–978.
21. Ifinedo, P; Nahar, N. Interactions between contingency, organisational IT factors, and ERP success, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 2009, 109(1): 118–137. Available from doi:10.1108/02635570910926627
22. Lui, K. M.; Chan, K. C. C. Rescuing Troubled Software Projects by Team Transformation: A Case Study With an ERP Project, *IEEE Transactions in Engineering Management*, 2008 55(1): p. 171–184. Available from doi:10.1109/TEM.2007.912933
23. Baccarini, D. The concept of project complexity – *International Journal of Project Management*, 1996, 14(2): p. 201–204. Available from doi:10.1016/0263-7863(95)00093-3
24. Law, C. C H.; Ngai, E. W. T. ERP systems adoption: An exploratory study of the organisational factors and impacts of ERP success, *Information & Management*, 2007, 44(4): p.418–432. Available from doi:10.1016/j.im.2007.03.004
25. Hawking, P. Implementing ERP Systems Globally: Challenges and Lessons Learned for Asian Countries, *Journal of Business Systems, Governance and Ethics*, 2007, 2(1): p. 21–32.
26. Ghosh, S. Challenges on a global implementation of ERP software, in *Engineering Management Conference*,

2002. IEMC,2002, 02, 1, p. 101–106. ISBN 0-7803-7385-5
27. Wee, S. "Juggling toward ERP success: keep key success factors high", ERP News,2000, February, available from <http://www.erpnews.com/erpnews/erp904/02get.html>.
28. Rosario, J.G. "On the leading edge: critical success factors in ERP implementation projects",2000, Business World, Philippines.
29. Holland, P., Light, B. and Gibson, N, "A critical success factors model for enterprise resource planning implementation", Proceedings of the 7th European Conference on Information Systems,1999 Vol 1, p. 273-97.
30. Roberts, H.J. and Barrar, P.R.N. "MRPII implementation: key factors for success", Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems,1992, Vol. 5 No. 1, p. 31-8.
- How to cite this article: Kotadia C. Challenges involved in adapting and implementing an enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems. International Journal of Research and Review. 2020; 7(12): 538-548.
