Research Paper

# **On Convergence Criteria for Sequences**

# Mohammed Nour A. Rabih<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics- College of Science -University of BakhtEr-ruda- Eddwaim–Sudan <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics- College of Science & Arts in OklatAlskoor - Qassim University - Saudi Arabia

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss the concept of convergence of real, complex and functions  $\{f_n\}$  sequences, also we discuss the concept of sub-sequences. We presented the concept of convergence criteria for the sequences. First, we presented the cauchy criterion for convergence, and then we presented Weierstrass M-test for convergence and its some applications.

Key words: convergence – sequences - Weierstrass M-test-cauchy criterion

#### 1. Sequences and Convergence

**Definition 1.1.** A sequence is a function <sup>[2,5]</sup> whose domain is N and whose codomainis  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given a function  $f: N \to \mathbb{R}$ , f(n) is the *n*th term in the sequence.

**Example1.2.** Let  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ . In this case, our function *f* is defined as

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$$

As a listed sequence of numbers, this would look like the following:

$$\left(1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{6},\dots\right)$$

**Definition1.3.** A sequence of real numbers converges <sup>[1, 4]</sup> to a real number *a*if, forevery positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|a_n - a| < \varepsilon$ . We call such an *a*the limit of the sequence and write  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n(x) = a$ .

**Definition1.4.** A sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of functions <sup>[4]</sup> on a subset *A* of  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition1.5.** (*Pointwise convergence*), <sup>[4]</sup> Let **D**be a subset of Rand let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions defined on *D*. We say that  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise on **D**iflim<sub> $n\to\infty$ </sub>  $f_n(x)$  exists for each point xin **D**. This means that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x)$  is a real number that depends only on x.

If  $\{f_n\}$  is pointwise convergent then the function defined by

 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  for every xin **D**, is called the pointwise limit of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$ 

Note: The notation  $N = N(x, \varepsilon)$  means that the natural number N dependson the choice of x and  $\varepsilon$ .

**Definition1.6.** (Uniform convergence), <sup>[4, 5]</sup> Let **D** be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of realvalued functions defined on **D**. Then  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to f if givenany  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for every n > N and for every x in **D**.

Note: In the above definition the natural number N depends only on  $\varepsilon$ .

Therefore, uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence.

# 2. Subsequences Definition 2.1.

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence and  $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  any strictly increasing sequence of positive integers; <sup>[2]</sup> that is,

 $0 < n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots$ . Then the sequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k \ge 1}$ , i.e.,  $\{b_k\}_{k \ge 1}$ , where  $b_k = a_{n_k}$ , is called a subsequence of  $\{a_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ . That is, a subsequence is obtained by choosing terms from the original sequence, without altering the order of the terms, through the map  $k \to n_k$ , which determines the indices used to pick out the subsequence. For instance,  $\{a_{7k+1}\}$  corresponds to the sequence of positive integers  $n_k = 7k + 1, k = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

Observe that every increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers must tend to infinity, because

$$n_k \geq k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$
  
The sequences  
$$\left\{\frac{1}{k^2}\right\}_{k\geq 1}, \left\{\frac{1}{2k}\right\}_{k\geq 1}, \quad \left\{\frac{1}{2k+1}\right\}_{k\geq 1}, \quad \left\{\frac{1}{5k+3}\right\}_{k\geq 1}, \\ \left\{\frac{1}{2^k}\right\}_{k>1}$$

are some subsequences <sup>[2]</sup> of the sequence  $\{1/k\}_{k>1}$ , formed by setting  $n_k =$  $k^2$ , 2k, 2k + 1, 5k + 3, 2<sup>k</sup>, respectively. that Note all the abovesubsequences converge to the same limit, which is also the limit of the original sequence  $\{1/k\}_{k>1}$ . Can we conjecture that subsequence of a convergent every sequence must converge and converge to the same limit?

**Theorem 2.2.** (Invariance property of subsequences).<sup>[2]</sup>

If  $\{a_n\}$  converges, then every subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  of it converges to the same limit. Also, if  $a_n \to \infty$ , then  $\{a_{n_k}\} \to \infty$  as well.

Proof. Suppose that  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{a_n\}$ . Note that  $n_k \ge k$ . Let  $L = \lim a_n$ and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists an Nsuch that

 $|a_k - L| < \varepsilon \text{ for } k \ge N.$  (1) Now  $k \ge N$  implies  $n_k \ge N$ , which in turn implies that

 $|a_{n_k} - L| < \varepsilon \text{ for } n_k \ge N.(2)$ 

Thus,  $a_{n_k}$  converges to *L* as  $k \to \infty$ . The proof of the second partfollowssimilarly.

**Corollary2.3.** The sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is divergent <sup>[4]</sup> if it has two conv-ergent subsequences with different limits. Also,  $\{a_n\}$  is divergent if it has a subsequencethat

tends to  $\infty$  or a subsequence that tends to  $-\infty$ .

**Theorem2.4.** A sequence is convergent if and only if there exists a real number L such that every subsequence of the sequence has a further subsequencethat converges to L.

**Corollary2.5.** If both odd and even subsequences of  $\{a_n\}$  converge to thesame limit *l*, then so does the original sequence.

Note that  $\{(-1)^n\}$  diverges, because it has two subsequences  $\{(-1)^{2n}\}$  and  $\{(-1)^{2n-1}\}$ converging to two different limits, namely 1 and -1.

# 3. Complex Sequences

Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers <sup>[3]</sup> and let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $\{z_n\}$  converges to zand write  $z_n \rightarrow z$  (or  $\lim z_n = z$  etc.) if for every positive real number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists anatural number N such that

 $n \ge N \Rightarrow |z_n - z| < \varepsilon$  **Theorem 3.1.** Let  $z_n = x_n + iy_n$ . (i)  $z_n \to z \Rightarrow x_n \to \Re z, y_n \to \Im y$ (ii)  $x_n \to x, y_n \to y \Rightarrow z_n \to x_n + iy_n$  *Proof.* (i) Put  $x_n = \Re z$ .  $|x_n - X| =$   $\Re(z_n - z) \le |z_n - z|$ . So given  $\varepsilon > 0$ use the same N.

(ii) $|z_n - z| \le |x_n - x| + |y_n - y|$  by  $\Delta$ law

Find  $N_1$  to ensure first term is less than  $\varepsilon/2$ , and  $N_2$  to ensure second is less than $\varepsilon/2$ then use  $N := min(N_1, N_2)$ .

### 4. Convergence criteria for sequences

#### I. Cauchy criterion

**Definition 4.1.** <sup>[3]</sup> The real sequence  $a_n$  converges to something if and only if this holds: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists N such that  $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$  whenever n, m > N. This is necessary and sufficient.

To prove one implication: Suppose the sequence  $a_n$  converges, <sup>[2]</sup> say to a. Then by definition, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find N such that

 $|a - a_n| < \varepsilon$  whenever n > N. But then if we are given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find *N* such that  $|a - a_n| < \varepsilon/2$  for n > N, and then

 $|a_n - a_m| = |(a_n - a) - (a_m - a)| <$ 

 $|a_n - a| + |a_m - a| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$  (3) for m, n > N.

To prove the other: Suppose the criterion <sup>[3]</sup> holds. We know that we have a subsequence  $a_{n_i}$  which converges some *a*. I claim that in fact the whole sequence converges to this same *a*. We know that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

we can find  $N_1$  such that  $|a_{n_i} - a| < \varepsilon$  for  $i \ge N_1$ . We also know that if we are given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $K_2$  such that  $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$  for  $m \ge N_2$ .

Now we want to prove that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find N such that  $|a_n - a| < \varepsilon$  for  $n \ge N$ .

First choose  $N_1$  such that  $|a - a_{n_i}| < \varepsilon/2$ for  $i \ge N_1$ . Second, choose  $N_2$  such that  $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon/2$  (4)

for  $m, n \ge N_2$ . Suppose  $n \ge N_2$ . Choose some  $a_{n_i}$  with both  $n_i \ge N_2$  and  $i \ge N_1$ . Then

$$|a_n - a| = |(a_n - a_{n_i}) + (a_{n_i} - a)| \le |a_n - a_{n_i}| + |a_{n_i} - a| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$$
(5)

Now Suppose  $\{z_n\}$  is a sequence of complex numbers <sup>[3]</sup> for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{z_n\}$  converges if and only if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ an such that  $|z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$  for every  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that m > n > N.

Any sequence that satisfies the Cauchy Criterion <sup>[3]</sup> is known as a Cauchy sequence. The above theorem also shows that every convergent sequence is Cauchy, and every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

### Corollary 4.2.

If  $\{z_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence <sup>[2, 3]</sup> that converges to z, and N is chosen such that  $|z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$  for every  $n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that m > N, n > N, then for each, n > N $|z_n - z| < \varepsilon$ . *Proof:* 

This proof is rather straightforward. Let  $m \to \infty$  in the inequality  $|z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$ . It follows from this that  $|z_n - z| \le \varepsilon$ .

#### Corollary4.3.

The series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$  converges <sup>[2]</sup> if and only if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an N such that  $|\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} a_k| < \varepsilon$  for every  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that m > n > N

**Definition 4.4.** A sequence  $(f_n)$  of functions  $f_n : A \to \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly Cauchyon *A*if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

m, n > N implies that  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < E$  for all  $x \in A$ .

The key part of the following proof is the argument to show that a pointwise convergent, uniformly Cauchy sequence converges uniformly.

**Theorem 4.5.** A sequence  $(f_n)$  of functions  $f_n : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbb{R}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbf{A}$  if and only if it is uniformly Cauchy on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly, <sup>[4]</sup> to f on A. Then, given

 $\mathcal{E} > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \mathcal{E}/2$  for all  $x \in A$  if

n > N. It follows that if m, n > N then

 $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \le |f_m(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_n(x)| < E$ for all

 $x \in A$ ,

which shows that  $(f_n)$  is uniformly Cauchy. [3,4]

Conversely, suppose that  $(f_n)$  is uniformly Cauchy. Then for each

 $x \in A$ , thereal sequence <sup>[2,5]</sup>  $(f_n(x))$  is Cauchy, so it converges by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We define  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ by

 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} fn(x), (6)$ 

and then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise.

To prove that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly, let  $\mathcal{E} > 0$ . Since  $(f_n)$  is uniformly Cauchy, we can choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending only on  $\mathcal{E}$ ) such that

 $|fm(x) - fn(x)| < \mathcal{E}/2$  for all  $x \in A$  if m, n > N.

Let n > N and  $x \in A$ . Then for every m > N we have

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \le |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| + |f_m(x) - f(x)| < \mathcal{E}/2 + |f_m(x) - f(x)|.$$

Since  $f_m(x) \to f(x)$  as  $m \to \infty$ , we can choose m > N(depending on x, but itdoesn't matter since mdoesn't appear in the final result) such that

 $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \mathcal{E}/2$ It follows that if n > N, then  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  (7) for all  $x \in A$ , which proves that f is a functionally

which proves that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly. Alternatively, we can take the limit as  $m \to \infty$  in the Cauchy condition to getfor all  $x \in A$  and n > N that  $|f(x) - f_n(x)| = \lim_{m \to \infty} |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \le \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$  (8)

### II. Weierstrass M-test

## **Theorem4.6.** (*WeierstrassM-test*)<sup>[5]</sup>

Suppose  $\{f_k\}$  is a sequence of real- or complex-valued functions <sup>[3]</sup> on some set E. Also, suppose that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$  is a convergent series where  $M_k$  are real non-negative terms. If  $|f_k(z)| \leq M_k$  for all k greater than some number N and for all z in some set E, then it follows that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$  converges uniformly on E.

Proof:

Since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$  is Cauchy, we can choose a number M > N such that for any m and n that satisfy m > n > M we get that  $\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} M_k < \varepsilon$ . Then we see that for z in the set E that our series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$  is also Cauchy, since

 $|\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} f_k(z)| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} |f_k(z)| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} M_k < \varepsilon$ (10)

Therefore,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$  converges for every  $z \in \mathbf{E}$ . Let us say that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$  converges to the function F(z).

Now, we want to show that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$  converges uniformly to F(z). Observe that we can rewrite

$$\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{m} f_k(z)\right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} |f_k(z)| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} M_k < \varepsilon$$

in terms of partial sums

$$\begin{split} |\sum_{k=0}^{m} f_k(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(z)| &< \varepsilon \ (11) \\ \text{for all } z \in E, \text{ and where} m > n > N \text{ . Then} \\ \text{applying Corollary (3.4) of the Cauchy} \\ \text{Criterion, we see that} \\ |F(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(z)| &\leq \varepsilon \ (12) \end{split}$$

For  $z \in E$ , and where m > n > N. Thus, the uniform convergence is shown.

**Theorem4.7.** (*Comparison test*)<sup>[2]</sup>

Suppose we have the terms  $a_k$  such that  $|a_k| \le M_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , k > N for some number N. Then if the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$  converges, the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$  converges as well.

Since we know some of the ideas behind the Weierstrass M-Test, <sup>[5]</sup> we can now begin to look at some of its applications. We will first consider an *application* of the Weierstrass M-Test in the set of  $\mathbb{R}$ , before moving into applications within the set of  $\mathbb{C}$ . **Example4.8**.

Show that the real-valued series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right)$$

is uniformly convergent.

The WeierstrassM-Test <sup>[5]</sup> gives us the ability to show this without considering any limits. First, we observe that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\left|\sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right)\right| \leq 1$  for all k. Then it is easy to see that  $\left|\frac{1}{4^k}\sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4^k}$ . So now let  $M_k = \frac{1}{4^k}$ .

Now we want to show that the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k}$ (our series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$ ) is convergent. This series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k}$  converges to  $\frac{1}{3}$  by the following Lemma.

### Lemma 4.9.

The series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k$  converges to  $\frac{1}{1-a}$  if |a| < 1.

So we now have 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} = \frac{4}{3} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} = 1 + \frac{1}{3}$$
. Hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} = \frac{1}{3}$ , (our series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k = \frac{1}{3}$ ). Now by the Weierstrass M-Test we see the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{3^k}\right)$  is uniformly convergent on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

Now to consider an *application* of the Weierstrass M-Test in the set of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

### Example 4.10.

Show that the exponential function f(z) = $e^{z}$  is uniformly convergent <sup>[1,4]</sup> on any bounded set  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

Recall that  $e^{z}$  can be rewritten as the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}$ . Now we will show that this series is uniformly on some disk D of radius rcentered at the origin. To show this we must find some  $M_k$  such that  $\left|\frac{z^k}{k!}\right| \le M_k$  for all  $z \in \boldsymbol{D}$ . Recall that  $|z^k| \leq |z|^k$ , and that  $|z| \in \mathbb{R}$ . So let  $|z| < r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then it follows that  $\left|\frac{z^k}{k!}\right| \le \frac{|z|^k}{k!} \le \frac{r^k}{k!}$ . We see that  $\frac{r^k}{k!} \in \mathbb{R}$ , so now let  $M_k = \frac{r^k}{k!}$ . We may be able to *apply* the Weierstrass M-Test, [5] if we can show that the series

 $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$  converges. If we use the (*Ratio Test*), <sup>[2]</sup> we can prove that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$  is convergent. So now recall:

#### **III. Ratio Test:**

<sup>[2]</sup> Given a series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$ , find  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \right| = L \ (13)$ 

If L > 1, the series diverges If L < 1, the series converges

If L = 1 or the limit fails to exist, then the test is inconclusive.

So now we see that

So now we see that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \right| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\frac{r^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}}{\frac{r^k}{k!}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{r}{r+1} = 0.$ Thus by the (Ratio Test)we see that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$  converges. Then by the Weierstrass M-Test we see that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}$  Is uniformly convergent on some disk **D** of radius **D** centered at the origin.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. R.M. Dudley, sequential on convergence. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1964), 483-507.
- 2. Walter Rudin. **Principles** of Mathematical Analysis. McGraw-Hill Inc. 1976.
- 3. Ash, R. B., & Novinger, W. P. (n.d.). Complex analysis. Illinois: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Dept. of mathematics. Retrieved April 14, 2009,
- 4. Zbigniew Grande. On the almost monotone convergence of sequences of continuous functions. Eur. J. Math. 9(4) . 2011.772-777
- 5. Stephen Abbott. **Understanding** Analysis. Springer. 2015.

How to cite this article: Mohammed Rabih NA. On convergence criteria for sequences. International Journal of Research and Review. 2017; 4(5):87-91.

\*\*\*\*\*