

Plankton Diversity, Physico-Chemical Parameters and Conservation Value of Temporary Freshwater Rock Pools

Alhassan Usman Gabi^{1,2}, Hazel Monica Matias-Peralta¹

¹ Department of Technology and Heritage, Faculty of Science, Technology and Human Development, University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, 86400 Parit Raja, BatuPahat, Johor Malaysia.

²Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University PMB 11 Lapai, Niger State, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author: Alhassan Usman Gabi

Received: 04/09/2015

Revised: 20/09/2015

Accepted: 22/09/2015

ABSTRACT

Rock pools occur everywhere in the world and are geo-morphologically similar because they originated from weathering and erosion although vary in surface and depth. Interestingly, rare species are found as rock pool communities, which have never been recorded from open water. Temporary fresh water support invertebrate communities ranging from the complex, with many species to those that support only one or two species. The high variability in environmental condition connected with relatively unpredicted flooding regime limit for specialized species with high tolerance to stress and specific feature for surviving the dry phase. The differences in number of rocks and pools together with sampling intensity of individual pool may probably explain part of the variation in recorded diversity. Indeed, it is an established fact that the rock pools biotas are fully dependent on length and abundance of inundation and for that, the active communities will reflect the current climatic conditions. Temperature, Dissolved oxygen and pH are vital to the survival of many temporary water species as it provides essential indications that regulate the timing of life cycles, flight periodicities and colonization dynamics. Freshwater ecosystem served as an important asset for man and habitation for an extraordinary rich, endemic and sensitive species. Increase in human demand on these ecosystems results to large and rising threats to biodiversity and for that recording diminishes of biodiversity, identifying their causes, and finding solutions have become necessity in freshwater ecosystem.

Keywords: Plankton, diversity, conservation and freshwater rock pools.

INTRODUCTION

Temporary fresh water support invertebrate communities ranging from the complex habitat, with many species (e.g., vernal ponds), to those that support only one or two species (such as ephemeral rock pools and water-filled leaf axils) predators in

rock pools which colonize pools after inundation. Previous researches on freshwater rock pools concentrate on general species of macro and micro flora and fauna in and riparian of rock pools. ^[1-7] The aim of paper is to provide critical review on freshwater rock pools with emphasis on

characteristic, essential role of the water physico-chemical parameters on planktonic community and conservation value.

Temporary freshwater rock pools characteristics: Freshwater rock pools (a group of all types of depressions that occur on rocky substrate and periodically hold water) which are found all over the world in all major biomes depends mainly on precipitation for filling. The hydro periods ranging from several days to a whole year and interaction between the climate and geology determined the morphology and hydrology of this habitat. [4] It experiences daily changes of water temperature, pH and CO₂. [1] They have low conductivity and wide range variation of pH (from 4.0-11.00) and temperature from freezing point to 40°C. The uncertain nature of the flooding regime requires high endurable species with adaptation for surviving the dry phase such as resistance stages, active emigration followed by re-colonization. About 460 animal species are recorded from rock pools worldwide and 170 of these are passive dispersers, that is, those mainly dispersed by wind and the overflow of water between the pools. Freshwater rock pools stand as a source of freshwater in dry countries, but despite that, they remain unexplored in large parts of the world. [4]

In most cases, freshwater rock pools are temporary waters. The freshwater rock pools are characterized by highly unpredictable in timing and length of the inundation period. [8,9] The hydro-period in rock pools averagely ranged from several days up to a month [9] and several months in the case of semi-permanent rock pool [4]. Rock pools occur everywhere in the world and possess very similar structure and abiotic environment. They are geomorphologically similar because they originated from weathering and erosion [10,11] although vary in surface and depth. Weathering and erosion may result to

joining of neighboring pools that may lead to more complex shape. [12] Rock pools are usually in the form of pan, or bucket shape with a cylindrical or ellipsoid surface and different in dimension. [12]

Like other types of temporary water habitat, rock pools fauna are categorized into two, namely: permanently resides (as resistant life stages) and migrates (when pools are dry). [13] The high variability in environmental condition connected with relatively unpredicted flooding regime limit for specialized species with high tolerance to stress and specific feature for surviving the dry phase. Most pool biota survives the desiccation through resting stages such as dormant eggs, resistance larvae or by active migration and re-colonization. [13,14]

Hydro-period, is so important to the extent of determining the composition, structure and diversity of the rock pool bio-community. [15] The climatic change in rock pool significantly changes the hydro-regime with decreasing stability on some biotas. This established the hydrologic sensitivity of rock pool habitats to precipitation patterns and its potential to predict future climatic change. The regularity and duration of inundation depend on basin physical factor (shape, size and structure), area, types of vegetation and local climate. The maximum depth of basin determines the maximum length of inundation period. [16] Rock pool mostly filled with rain water, which results in the highly diluted environment at the beginning of the inundation. The conductivities of this water are below 10 μScm^{-1} and varying depth ranging from 5cm to 30cm. The temperature of the water, close to the air temperature and show wide diurnal fluctuation in pH and dissolve oxygen. The freshwater rock pool is distinct between the pool filled by precipitation and those fed by rivers and ground water. The ground water fed rock pool (e.g. quarry pond) and potholes in the river floodplains

inhabit biotas that are mainly brought in with the water. These communities of fauna in these habitats are not often adapted to this temporary pool condition. However, the precipitation dependent rock pools accommodate communities of species fit to survive dynamic and unpredicted flooding cycles.

Unlike other temporary pools that are characterized as an enemy-free habitat [17,18] predation is an essential component of rock pools and considered to be an essential community structuring factor. Examples of such predators are clawed toad, Turbellaria, notonectids, odonates and ceratopogonid midge larvae. [16,19-21] Jock *et al.*, 2010 [4] mentioned water mite as common.

Plankton communities in rock pool:

Previous studies reveal that rare species are found as rock pool communities, which have never been recorded from open water. [22,23]

Such rock pool species possess physiological or behavioral features that can help population persistence in the pool. [23,24]

The plankton community in rock pool may be used as a model system to study many ecological concepts such as community assembly, spatial population dynamic and local extinction. [25]

Planktonic community composition differs spatially between pools and temporarily within the same pools. [26]

Occurrence or absence of some phytoplankton species in a pool depends on arbitrary dispersal of propagules by wind or animals. [27-29]

Physico-chemical circumstances and biotic interactions during each wet season determine establishment and maintenance of sustainable populations. [27,29,30]

McLachlan, 1985 [31] revealed that most of algae insect larvae and tadpoles colonize small temporary rain-filled rock pools in Malawi.

Phytoplankton rapidly grows in temporary rock pools. This comes from inocula, which are readily dispersed as dry cysts or spores by wind. [32,33] Frequency of

the heavy rainfall followed by storms may result to the pools flushed out so rapidly that algal populations do not have time to form. [33]

Nevertheless, motile algal species like Euglena and Chlamydomonas species use their flagella to remain suspended in the pool, can withstand being flushed out.

Nitrogen and phosphorus are major inorganic nutrients required by phytoplankton. The phosphorus limited algal growth, but stimulates algal productivity and enhances eutrophication processes when in excess. [34,35]

In surface water, nitrate is the nutrient taken up by algae, assimilated into cell protein. Nitrate is relatively a soluble ion and usually available in water, though it may limit algal growth at times. [33]

The productivity of any aquatic environment depends on the phytoplankton and the environmental conditions that affect them.

The factors that influence phytoplankton growth in ephemeral rock pools are variations in water chemistry, irradiance, nutrient supply, and presence of tadpoles, temperature and the washout rate. [33,36]

Reynolds (1986) [37] also reveals that disparity in the chemical composition of natural waters might be significant in regulating the diversity, density and the geographical and periodic distribution of phytoplankton.

Though the ephemeral nature of the pools excludes some organisms such that only organisms that can aestivate, survive desiccation and have very fast rates of development during the inundation phase can live in these environments [38]

or produces resistant eggs or are themselves able to enter a resistance, resting stage [13,39]

and a majority of their life span may depend in these diapause stages. [40]

The zooplankton in second level, transform food energy synthesized by the phytoplankton to the higher trophic level. [41]

Economically, zooplankters are the major primary consumer or intermediate of energy transfer between phytoplankton and other

aquatic animals including fish. [42-44]

Moreover, zooplankton is the most vital biotic components affecting mostly the functional aspects of all aquatic ecosystems, via; food chains, food webs, energy flow/transfer and cycling of matter. Different environmental factors that detect the features of water play essential role on the growth and abundance of zooplankton. [45]

As such, water quality influences zooplankton abundance, clustering and biomass. Four major groups of zooplankton (protozoans, rotifers, cladocerans and copepods) dominate the temporary freshwater rock pools. [46,38] The pools give lodging to large branchiopod crustaceans. [3,20]

Many branchiopod species can withstand oxygen concentration of less than 5mg/l. Virtually all cladocerans species survive at a pH ranging from 6.5 to 8.5. [47]

One of the major invertebrate predators in temporal pools on rock substrate is turbellarians. The presence of the turbellarians in large densities, diminish the zooplankton densities drastically and may lead to total extinction of the active population. [48] Predation of zooplankters by both amphibians and several invertebrates may be a vital biotic mechanism regulating temporary pool communities. [38,46,48,49]

Physico-chemical parameters: Water quality characteristics of freshwater rock pools assessed from different studies are presented in Table 1. The generally small volume of sandstone and granite rock pools results in a low buffering capacity, with marked changes of physical and chemical variables over short time scales often in a daily cycle. [50] Rock pools are characterized by low conductivity immediately after filling, typically fluctuating between 10 and 30 μScm^{-1} . As the water evaporates, conductivity increases mainly because of the concentration of metabolites and can reach values up to 1400 μScm^{-1} in pools with the longest hydroperiod (Table 1).

Water temperature affects water physico-chemical factors such as dissolved oxygen concentration, pH and primary production. Water temperatures closely follow the ambient air temperature due to shallow nature of most rock pools; hence show high diurnal variations. [51]

Temperature is vital to the survival of many temporary water species as it provides essential indications that regulate the timing of life cycles, emergence from and entry into diapause, flight periodicities and colonization dynamics. [52]

According to Alhassan & Hazel (2015) [53] noted that water temperature in rock pools depends on climate whilst, maxima varies from 29°C in Malaysia. On a similar noted, it was 32°C in Finland [54] 34°C in Zimbabwe, [55] 35°C in Utah [50] and above 40°C in Botswana. [9]

Water temperature rarely exceeds 40°C due to the balance between cooling through evaporation and heating by insolation. The physico-chemical features of temporary waters strongly influence the bio-communities present, but biological factors may be vital as well, especially with increased duration of the hydroperiod. Temperature is a very important environmental variable that fluctuates seasonally, daily, or even hourly basis. The typical shallow nature of temporary water rock pools highly subjected than rapid heating from solar radiation, cooling at night and also from wind. Thus, temperature inversions, together with kinetic energy transfer from wind blowing over the water surface, put the water column in motion, as a result stirs up bottom materials. The annual temperature regime recorded in Utah revealed an increase from a post-snowmelt value of around 4.0°C to a maximum of 35.0°C in mid-summer. [4] However, some temporary water bodies experience a daily temperature turnover similar to that seen annually in permanent lakes. [56] The biological implications of such type of short-

term temperature changes are not clearly understood.

Dissolved oxygen also follows the same pattern with temperature of a strong daily and seasonal cycle. A study with dissolved oxygen concentrations in fluctuation range 5.8 and 7.9 mg L⁻¹ [51] averagely over eight pools measured during 8 days in South Africa. Little Variation in oxygen concentration over a complete inundation cycle was revealed between pools, though, ranging in one study from 3.5 to 9.6 mg L⁻¹. [51] This shows that variability is greater in other systems. Dissolved oxygen in temporary rock pools waters may fluctuate diurnally as a result of photosynthesis and respiration. Whitney (1942) [57] discovered that, oxygen pulse to be at a maximum immediately after dark, when the day's photosynthesis had done, but thereafter it fell slowly due to overnight respiration. This observation made him conclude that, absorption of oxygen from the air was of quite less importance, as often absorption values were far below the air saturation value for a particular temperature. Additionally, the oxygen content of the water frequently changed during a period when a uniform temperature prevailed. Schneller, (1955) [58] found that during the low flow stages of Salt Creek, Indiana, large quantities of decaying leaf matter were sufficient to cause an oxygen depletion combined with an increase in free carbon dioxide from the activities of decomposers.

The rock pools water pH ranges from acid (pH = 4.3) to alkaline (pH = 11.3). The macrophytics and phytoplankton produces carbon-dioxide in the night during respiration which resulted to decreasing in pH of water in early morning [59,60] found that in many small ponds in Europe, the amount of diurnal photosynthesis could totally exhaust all of the available carbon dioxide. pH may increase as a result of this exhaustion, although the degree of pH

change would depend not only on the intensity of the photosynthesis, but also on the magnitude of buffering available, alkaline soils from surrounding can be used as an example. Moreover, in various temporary waters, oxygen levels depleted rapidly after inundation, as basin sediments and soils become flooded. Renewed microbial activity removes the oxygen, creating a reduced redox state in the bed. [61]

Up to 1.5 units of variation was observed in a diurnal cycle, [50] pH variation affects alga growth in many ways. It changes carbon-dioxide, species and carbon availability and distribution alter the available trace metals and important nutrient, and the very high pH level lead to direct physiological effects. Freshwater studies revealed that species succession is determined by the ability of certain species to proliferate at high pH presumably due to their tolerance of low CO₂ levels. [62-64] The pH of rain, almost everywhere in the world is lower than 5.6 and the factors that are responsible for acid deposition or acid rain are sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x). [65]

The pH of water in freshwater and other aquatic ecosystem, are very essential to aquatic communities because it regulates the exchange of respiratory gasses and salts with the water which they live. Inability to regulate these processes can lead to diminished growth rate and even mortal in case of high pH above the range that can be tolerated physiologically by aquatic organisms. [66] The pH affects normal physiological processes of freshwater communities such as the exchange of ions with the water and respiration. This physiological process usually functions well in aquatic biotas under a relative pH range of 6 -9 unit. [67-69] In freshwater with healthy and diverse macro invertebrate, the pH was observed to be approximately 6.5 - 8.2 units. [70-73] Similar was also observed in

temporary rock pools with approximately 6.1 - 8.2 Units [53] some species of algae were reported to live and survived at pH 2 and lower, and some at pH 10 and higher. This revealed that, there is no defined pH range specific to all freshwater communities. [67-69] The acceptable range of pH to aquatic communities depends on prior acclimatization, water temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration and the concentration and ratio of cation and anions. [70]

Diversity in rock pools: The phytoplankton and zooplankton species diversity and density in rock pools varies considerably among studies. It is a common agreement that diversity increases stability in communities and ecosystem. [74] Alhassan & Hazel (2015) [53] study in Upeh Guling, Malaysia and reported 122 species of phytoplankton and 49 species of zooplankton (Table 1). The plankton was collected six times within a year from 4 rock pools. Based on the study conducted by, [55] 25 species of phytoplankton and 20 species of zooplankton were recorded based on weekly sampling from 20 rock pools. On the other hand, previous researchers who studies

invertebrates but did not include phytoplankton are [75] with a 66 species of invertebrate recorded from 92 pools on two outcrops among which zooplankton were 47 species representing 71.21%. Similarly, 230 species of aquatic invertebrate were recorded from 90 pools equally divided in 9 different outcrops where 71 representing 30.87% zooplankton species were recorded [76] Bayly (1997) [77] research recorded 88 species of invertebrate in 36 rock pools on 17 granite outcrops. The study recorded 31 species of zooplankton representing 35.22% from the total number of invertebrates recorded (refer to Table 2). Rock pools inhabited remarkable high diversity of passive disperser when compared with other temporary water bodies like phytotelmata (water held in plant). This may be attributed to temporary stability and physical properties of the habitats together with the low exchange rate of individual species between cluster habitats usually isolated from different outcrop. The differences in number of rocks and pools together with sampling intensity of individual pool may probably explain part of the variation in recorded diversity.

Table 1: Comparison between planktonic communities of rock pools records from previous finding

AUTHOR/YEAR	ROTIFERS	CLADOCERANS	COPEPODS	Total
Bayly, 1997	-	24	7	31
Pinder et al., 2000	23	36	12	71
Jocque, 2007	42	3	2	47
Tavernini, 2008	41	5	8	53
Anusa, 2012	8	5	7	20
Alhassan & Hazel, 2015	35	12	2	49

Table 2: Comparison between planktonic communities of rock pools records from previous finding

AUTHOR/YEAR	Anusaet al., 2012	Alhassan & Hazel, 2015
Blue green algae	1	8
Green algae	19	60
Yellow algae	-	2
Golden brown algae	-	2
Diatoms	1	39
Cryptomonads	-	2
Euglenoids	2	5
Dinoflagellates	2	4
Total	25	122

Indeed, it is an established fact that the rock pools biota is fully dependent on length and abundance of inundation and for that, the active communities will reflect the current climatic conditions. [4] The rock pool communities thus may be fit as proper monitoring system for identifying environmental changes on both short and long time basis and learning the climatic changes effect on bio communities. The

rock pools habitat is unique for accommodating specialized and endemic species and therefore contribute essentially to regional diversity.^[75] Manson (2000)^[78] stated that establishment of conservation strategies may not be straight forward in fresh water habitat but protection of this habitat is essential.

Freshwater conservation: Freshwater ecosystem served as an important asset for man and habitation for an extraordinary rich, endemic and sensitive species. Increase in human demand on these ecosystems results to large and rising threats to biodiversity everywhere in the world. Due to these emerging threats around the world, recording diminishes of biodiversity, identifying their causes, and finding solutions have become necessity in freshwater ecosystem. Freshwater covers only 0.8% of the earth's surface, yet it sustains not less than 100,000 species, which represents 6% of all known species on earth out of a total of 1.8 million species by approximation.^[79,80] The typical inhabitant of temporary habitats crustaceans, molluscs, rotifers, cladocerans, tardigrades, turbellarians and hydrozoans and survived the habitat by produces resistant eggs and sometimes they are able to enter a resistance and resting stage^[35,13]. Previous studies revealed that loss of temporary freshwater pool habitat range from 90% - 97% in California.^[81] According to Holland (1978); Stone (1990),^[82,83] temporary freshwater pools at a time covered 1/3 of the central valley, along the perimeter of the foothills and down the middle of the valley. However, farm activities on top of rich soil, expansion of urban areas due to increase in population lead to the increased destruction rate of the temporary freshwater habitats.

Phytoplankton serve as food for aquatic organism, produces oxygen for hydrosphere and atmosphere, also used for biofuel, industrial use for drugs and

Bioremediation while zooplankton served as food for higher aquatic animals, live food for aquarium and aquaculture industry and contribute in water quality. Christopher (2008)^[84] revealed that phytoplankton (Algae) are use as bio-filters for removal of nutrient and other pollutants from wastewaters, to examine water quality, as indicators of environmental changes, in space technology, and laboratory research system. They are cultivated for the purpose of pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals, cosmetics and aquaculture. These vital contributions of plankton to human and the entire world made it necessary for their conservation. Millar and Kraft (1993)^[85] and Millar (2003)^[86] first documented the case of extinction of an alga (*Vanvoorstia bennettiana* (Harvey) Papenfuss (Delesseriaceae, Rhodophyta) in history. Watanabe *et al.*, (2005)^[87] reported the endangered of 24 charophycean taxa to which some may now be extinct. According to Simovich (2005),^[88] temporary freshwater pools surveys in California revealed that 50% the habitat crustaceans are yet to be described and about 30% of these species have gone extinction before being discovered.^[89] The causes of species extinct in freshwater may be the threats of habitat destruction and degradation. To reduce the rate of loss and extinction of species is by creation of artificial pools or conservation of the natural habitat that are in place. Although little evidence revealed that artificial habitat can support the diversity of natural pools.^[89] It has become necessary that the little remaining habitat should be studied and understood for appropriate protection. Brodie *et al.*, (2009),^[90] suggested that the species conservation will be achieved by protection of the habitat or organisms. Many scientists prefer protection of habitat and allowing the organisms of that community to adapt themselves to the environmental factors of the habitat. The vital role played

by fresh water rock pools in housing endemic and rare species of plankton and the benefits of these species to other organism necessitate their conservation in ecosystem.

REFERENCES

1. Keeley, J. E. & Zedler, P. H. (1998). Characterization and global distribution of vernal pools. In Ecology, conservation, and management of vernal pool ecosystems, proceedings from 1996 conference (Vol. 1, p. 14).
2. Williams, D.D. (2005). Temporary Forest Pools: Can we see the water for trees 13:213-233.
3. Brendonck, L., Hamer, M.L., Riddoch, B.J. and Seaman, M.T. (2000). Branchipodopsis species- specialists of ephemeral rock pools. *African Journal of Aquatic Science* 25: 96-104.
4. Jocque, M., Vanschoenwinkel, B. & Brendonck, L. (2010). Freshwater rock pools: a review of habitat characteristics, faunal diversity and conservation value. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(8), pp. 1587-1602. <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2010.02402.x>
5. Hosmani, S. (2014). Freshwater Plankton Ecology: A Review. *Abhinav-International Monthly Refereed Journal of Research In Management & Technology (Online ISSN 2320-0073)*, 3(8), 1-10.
6. Tuytens, K., Vanschoenwinkel, B., Waterkeyn, A., & Brendonck, L. (2014). Predictions of climate change infer increased environmental harshness and altered connectivity in a cluster of temporary pools. *Freshwater biology*, 59(5), 955-968.
7. Van den Broeck, M., Waterkeyn, A., Rhazi, L., Grillas, P., & Brendonck, L. (2015). Assessing the ecological integrity of endorheic wetlands, with focus on Mediterranean temporary ponds. *Ecological Indicators*.
8. Brendonck, L., Riddoch, B.J. van de Weghe, V. and Van Dooren, T. (1998). The maintenance of egg banks in very short-lived pools: a case study with anostracans (Branchiopoda). *Hydrobiologia* 52:141-161.
9. Brendonck L., Hamer, M.L., Riddoch, B.J. & Seaman M.T. (2000a). A Branchipodopsis species - specialists of ephemeral rock pools. *African Journal of Aquatic Science*, 25, pp. 98-104.
10. Campbell E.M. (1997) Granite landforms. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, 80, 101-112.
11. Domínguez-Villar, D., (2006). Early formation of gnammas (weathering pits) in a recently glaciated area of Torres del Paine, southern Patagonia (Chile). *Geomorphology* 76, 137-147.
12. Twidale C R & Corbin E M (1963). Gnammas. *Revue de Géomorphologie dynamique* 14:1-20.
13. Wiggins, G. B., Mackay R. J. & Smith I. M. (1980). Evolutionary and Ecological strategies of animals in annual temporary ponds, *Arch. Hydrobiol.*, Suppl., 58: 97-206.
14. Brendonck L, De Meester L. (2003). Egg banks in freshwater zooplankton: evolutionary and ecological archives in the sediment. *Hydrobiologia* 491: 65-84.
15. Hulsmans A., Vanschoenwinkel B.B., Riddoch B.J. & Brendonck L. (2008) Quantifying the hydroregime of a temporary pool habitat: a modelling approach for ephemeral rock pools in SE Botswana. *Ecosystems*, 11, 89-100.
16. Vanschoenwinkel B, Hulsmans A, De Roeck ER, De Vries C, Seaman M, Brendonck L. (2009). Community structure in temporary freshwater pools: disentangling effects of habitat size and hydroregime. *Freshwater Biology* 54: 1487-1500.
17. Fryer, G. (1985). Structure and habits of living branchiopod crustaceans and their bearing on the interpretation of fossil forms. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences*, 76(2-3), 103-113.

18. Kerfoot, W.C. and Lynch M. (1987). Brachiopod communities: Association with planktivorous fish in space and time. In: (Kerfoot W.C. & Sih A. eds), Predation: Direct and indirect impacts on aquatic communities. The University Press of New England, Hanover (NH). pp 367-378.
19. Hamer M.L. & Martens K. (1998). The large Branchiopoda (Crustacea) from temporary habitats of the Drakensberg Region, South Africa. *Hydrobiologia*, 384, 151-165.
20. Brendonck L., Michels E., De Meester L. & Riddoch B.J. (2002) Temporary pools are not enemy free. *Hydrobiologia*, 486, 147-159.
21. De Roeck, E. R. M., T. Artois & L. Brendonck, (2005). Consumptive and non-consumptive effects of turbellarian (*Mesostoma* sp.) predation on anostracans. *Hydrobiologia* 542:103-111.
22. Dethier, M. N. (1980). Tidepools as refuges: Predation and the limits of the harpacticoid copepod, *Tigriopus californicus* (Baker). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 42(2), pp. 99-111.
23. Jonsson, P. R., (1994). Tidal rhythm of cyst formation in the rock pool ciliate *Strombidium oculatum* Gruber (Ciliophora, Oligotrichida): a description of the functional biology and an analysis of the tidal synchronization of encystment. *J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol.* 175: 77-103.
24. Blackwell, J. R. & D. J. Gilmour, (1991). Stress tolerance of the tidal pool chlorophyte *Chlorococcum submarinum*. *Brit. J. Phycol.* 26: 141-147.
25. Pfister, L. A., & Terry, S. (1978). Additions to the algae of Oklahoma. The Southwestern Naturalist, 85-94.
26. Prophet, C.W. (1963). Physical-chemical characteristics of habitats and seasonal occurrence of some Anostraca in Oklahoma and Kansas. *Ecology* 44:798-801.
27. Maguire, B. (1963). The passive dispersal of small aquatic organisms and their colonization of isolated bodies of water. ? *Ecol. Monogr.* 33: 161-185.
28. Moore, W.G. and Faust, B.F. 1972. Crayfish as possible agents of dissemination of fairy shrimp into temporary ponds. *Ecology* 53: 314-316.
29. Darbon, G.R. (1976). Colonization of isolated aquatic habitats. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 90: 56-57.
30. Proctor, V.W. (1964). Viability of crustacean eggs recovered from ducks. *Ecology* 45: 656-658.
31. McLachlan, A. J. (1985). What determines the species present in a rain-pool? *Oikos* 45:1-7.
32. Reynolds, C.S.(1984). The ecology of freshwater phytoplankton. Cambridge University Press. London, UK. Pp 245-246.
33. Osborne, P.L. and McLachlan, A. J. (1985). The effect of tadpoles on algal growth in temporary rain-filled rock pools. *Freshwater Biology* 15:77-88.
34. Lean, D.R.S. and Pick, F.R. (1981). Photosynthetic responses of lake plankton to nutrient enrichment: A test for nutrient limitation. *Limnological Oceanographic* 26:1001-1019.
35. Bartram, J. and Balance, R. (1996). *Water Quality Monitoring: A practical guide to the design and implementation of fresh water quality studies and monitoring programmes*. Chapman and Hall Publishers, London, UK. Pp 106 - 289.
36. McLachlan, A.J. (1983). Life History tactics of rain-pool dwellers. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 52:545- 561.
37. Reynolds, C. S. (1986). Diatoms and the geochemical cycling of silicon, p. 269-289. In B. S. C. Leadbetter and R. Riding [eds.], *Biomining in lower plants and animals*. Clarendon Press.
38. Williams, D. D. (1997). Temporary ponds and their invertebrate communities. *Aquatic Conservation*:

- Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 7, 105-117.
39. Williams D.D. (1987). *The Ecology of Temporary Waters*. Timber Press, Portland, OR, USA.
 40. Fugate, M. (1993). *Branchinecta sandiegoensis*, a new species of fairy shrimp (Crustacea: Anostraca) from western North America. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 106:296-304.
 41. Abujam, S. K. S., Dakua, S., Bakalial, B., Saikia, A. K., Biswas, S. P., & Choudhury, P. (2011). Diversity of plankton in Maijanbeel, upper Assam. *Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci.*, 2 (4): 562, 568.
 42. Hammer, C. (1985). Feeding behavior of roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) larvae and the fry of perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) in lake Lankau. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 103 (1): 61-74.
 43. Telesh, I.V. (1993). The effect of fish on planktonic rotifers. *Hydrobiol.* 294: 17-128.
 44. Davies, O. A.; Abowei, J. F. N. and Otene, B. B. (2009). Seasonal abundance and distribution of plankton of Minichinda stream, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *American Journal of Scientific Research*, 2, pp. 20-30.
 45. Suresh, S.; Thirumala, S. and Ravind, H. (2011): Zooplankton diversity and its relationship with physico-chemical parameters in Kundavada Lake, of Davangere District, Karnataka, India. *Pro Environment*, 4:56 - 59.
 46. Lane, P.A. (1978). Role of invertebrate predation in structuring zooplankton communities. *Limnology* 20:480-485.
 47. Pennak, R. W. (1989). *Freshwater-Water Invertebrates of the United States, Protozoa to Mollusca*. 3rd Edition. John Wiley and Sons Limited. New York, USA. Pp 420-421.
 48. Blaustein, L. (1990). Evidence for predatory flatworms as organisers of zooplankton and mosquito structure in rice fields. *Hydrobiologia* 199:179-191.
 49. Spureles, W.G. (1972). Effects of size selective predation and food competition on high altitude zooplankton communities. *Ecology* 53:375-386.
 50. Scholnick D.A. (1994) Seasonal variation and diurnal fluctuations in ephemeral desert pools. *Hydrobiologia*, 294, 111-116.
 51. De Vries, C.H. (1996). *Invertebrate community structure and dynamics in Korannaberg rock pools*. MSc Thesis. University of the Orange Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa. Pp17-19.
 52. Williams, D.D. (2006). *The biology of temporary waters*. Oxford University Press. Pp, 1:1-2, 5:121-129.
 53. Alhassan U G. & Hazel P. M, (2015). *Plankton diversity of rare temporary water habitat in Upeh Guling, Johor National Park, Endau Rompin, Malaysia*. MSc Thesis. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, pp 19-37.
 54. Ganning B. (1971) Studies on chemical, physical and biological conditions in Swedish rockpool ecosystems. *Ophelia*, 9: 51-105.
 55. Anusa, A., Ndagurwa, H. G. T., &Magadza, C. H. D. (2012). The influence of pool size on species diversity and water chemistry in temporary rock pools on Domboshawa Mountain, northern Zimbabwe. *African Journal of Aquatic Science*, 37(1), 89-99
 56. Eriksen C.H. (1966). Diurnal limnology of two highly turbid puddles. *Verhandlungen des Internationalen Vereinigung fur theoretische und angewandte Limnologie* 16: 507-514.
 57. Whitney R.J. (1942). Diurnal fluctuations of oxygen and pH in two small ponds and a stream. *J. Exp. Biol.* 19: 92-99.
 58. Schneller M.V., (1955). Oxygen depletion in Salt Creek, Indiana. *Investigations on Indiana Lakes and Streams* 4: 163-175.
 59. Chan M.A., Moser K., Davis J.M., Southam G., Hughes K. & Graham T. (2005) Desert potholes: Ephemeral

- aquatic Microsystems. *Aquatic Geochemistry*, 11, 279-302.
60. Vaas K.F. and Sachlan M. (1955). Limnological studies on diurnal fluctuations in shallow ponds in Indonesia. *Verhandlungen des Internationalen Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie* 12: 309-319.
 61. Sposito G. (1989). *The Chemistry of Soils*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
 62. Brock, T. D. (1973). Lower pH limit for the existence of blue-green algae: evolutionary and ecological implications. *Science*.
 63. Goldman, J C, Shapiro, M R. (1973). Carbon dioxide and pH: effect on species succession of algae. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 182: 306-307.
 64. Shapiro. J. (1973). Blue-green algae: why they become dominant. *Science* 179: 382-38.
 65. Lenntech (2001). Effects of changes in pH on freshwater ecosystems. Accessed from [<http://www.lenntech.com/aquatic/acids-alkalis>] on 2 June 2015.
 66. Robertson & Bryan (2004). Technical Memorandum: PH Requirements of Freshwater Aquatic Life. 9766 Waterman Road, Suite L2 Elk Grove, CA 95624 (916) 714-1802.
 67. EIFAC (European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission). (1969). Water quality criteria for European freshwater fish: Report on extreme pH values and inland fisheries. Prepared by EIFAC Working Party on Water Quality Criteria for European Freshwater Fish. *Water Research*. 3(8):593-611.
 68. AFS (American Fisheries Society). (1979). A review of the EPA Red Book: quality criteria for water. R. V. Thurston, R. C. Russo, C.M. Fetterolf, Jr., T.A. Edsall, and Y.M. Barber, Jr. eds. American Fisheries Society, Water Quality Section. Bethesda, MD. 305 pp.
 69. Alabaster, J. S., and R. Lloyd. (1980). Water quality criteria for freshwater fish. European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission Report (FAO). Butterworth, London-Boston. 297 pp.
 70. McKee, J. E.; Wolf, H. W. (1963): "Water quality Criteria" (2nd ed.) California State Water Quality Control Board. Publication No. 3-4. 548p.
 71. Ellis, M.M. (1937). Detection and measurement of stream pollution. *Bul. U.S. Bureau of Fisheries*. 48:365-437.
 72. NTAC (National Technical Advisory Committee). (1968). Water quality criteria. A report of the National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior. Washington, D.C. April 1.
 73. NAS (National Academy of Sciences). (1972). Water quality criteria 1972. A report of the Committee on Water Quality Criteria. Prepared by the National Academy of Sciences and National Academy of Engineering.
 74. McCann KS (2000) The diversity-stability debate. *Nature* 405:228- 233.
 75. Jocque, M., Graham, T. & Brendonck, L. (2007). Local structuring factors of invertebrate communities in ephemeral freshwater rock pools and the influence of more permanent water bodies in the region. *Hydrobiologia*, 592, pp. 221-280.
 76. Pinder, A.M., Halse, S.A., Shiel R.J. & McRae J.M. (2000). Granite outcrop pools in south Western Australia: foci of diversification and refugia for aquatic invertebrates. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, 83, 149-161.
 77. Bayly I.A.E. (1997) Invertebrates of temporary waters in gnammas on granite outcrops in Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, 80, 167-172.
 78. Manson, B.J. (ed) (1990). *The surface water acidification program me*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, pp 1-8.
 79. Dudgeon, D., Arthington, A. H., Gessner, M. O., Kawabata, Z. I.,

- Knowler, D. J., Lévêque, C. & Sullivan, C. A. (2006). Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. *Biological reviews*, 81(2), 163-182.
80. Balian, E. V., C. Le'que, H. Segers, & K. Martens. (2008). The Freshwater Animal Diversity Assessment: an overview of the results. *Hydrobiologia* 595:627-637.
81. Poirier, P. A. (2012). Physical and Chemical Correlates of Sacramento County Vernal Pool Crustaceans (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Pacific).
82. Holland, R.F. (1978). The geographic and edaphic distribution of vernal pools in the Great Central Valley, California. *California Native Plant Society Special Publication*, 4, 1-12.
83. Stone, D.R. (1990). *California's endemic vernal pool plants: some factors influencing their rarity and endangerment* (Studies from the Herbarium 8, 89-108). Chico, CA: California State University.
84. Christopher J. C., (2008). Algae Derived Products And Technologies. University of Bath. pp. 51-112.
85. Millar A.J.K. & Kraft G.T. (1993). Catalogue of marine and freshwater algae (Rhodophyta) of New South Wales, including Lord Howe Island, south-western Pacific. *Australian Systematic Botany* 6: 1-90
86. Millar A.J.K. (2003). The world's first recorded extinction of a seaweed. In: *Proceedings of the XVIIth International Seaweed Symposium, Cape Town*, pp. 313-318. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
87. Watanabe M.M., Nozaki H., Kasaki H., Sano S., Kato N., Omori Y. & Nohara S. (2005). Threatened states of the Charales in the lakes of Japan. pp. 217-236. In Kasai K. & Watanabe M. M. (eds): *Algal culture collections and the environment* (Ed. by F. Kasai, Tokai University Press, Tokyo. 248 pp.
88. Simovich, M.A. (2005). Proceedings from California Native Plant Society 1996 Conference: *Crustacean biodiversity and endemism in California's ephemeral wetlands*. California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, CA.
89. King, J.L., Simovich, M.A., & Brusca, R.C. (1996). Species richness, endemism, and ecology of crustacean assemblages in Northern California vernal pools. *Hydrobiologia*, 328, 85-116.
90. Brodie, J., Andersen, R. A., Kawachi, M., & Millar, A. J. (2009). Endangered algal species and how to protect them. *Phycologia*, 48(5), 423-438.

How to cite this article: Gabi AU, Matias-Peralta HM. Plankton Diversity, Physico-chemical parameters and Conservation value of temporary freshwater rock pools. *Int J Res Rev.* 2015; 2(9):562-573.
