

# The Relationship Between *Helicobacter pylori* Infection and the Risk of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) in Batak Ethnicity in Boho Village, Sianjur Mulamula District, Samosir Regency, North Sumatra Province

Sigit Anugerah Putra SN

Internal Medicine Department, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20230803>

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common disorders of the digestive tract with an increasing prevalence. Epidemiological studies have found an increased prevalence of *H. pylori* infection with GERD. *H. pylori* has a high incidence in Asian countries. In Indonesia, the incidence of *H. pylori* infection remains unclear and controversial.

**Aim:** This research aims to analyse relationship between *H. pylori* infection with risk of GERD in Batak ethnicity in BOHO Village, Sianjur Mulamula District, Samosir Regency, North Sumatra Province.

**Methods:** This research is a cross-sectional analytical study. Research subjects were asked to fill out a demographic questionnaire, GERD questionnaire, and perform a fecal antigen test (FAT).

**Results:** A total of 100 participants who met the criteria were included in this study. The majority of research subjects were male, had normal BMI, and had no history of smoking or taking NSAIDs. Twenty percents of participants had a risk of GERD and positive FAT results were found in 17% of participants.

**Conclusion:** Chi-square test showed no association between *H. pylori* infections and the risk of GERD with p-value of 0.741.

**Keywords:** GERD, *H. pylori*, FAT, GERD-Q

## INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common gastrointestinal tract disorders with an increasing prevalence (1). GERD is defined as a condition resulting from reflux of gastric contents causing symptoms that can be accompanied by disturbing complications (2,3). Based on a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Nirwan, et al in 2020, the prevalence of GERD varies widely. On the Asian continent with a general prevalence of 12.92%, East Asia has the lowest prevalence, while the Middle East has the highest prevalence (4). Epidemiological studies have found an increasing prevalence of *H. pylori* with GERD. This raises suspicion on the site of infection from *H. pylori* and gastric acid secretion. (5) On the other hand, epidemiological data found an increase in the incidence of GERD which was associated with an increase in the success of *H. pylori* eradication (6). *H. pylori* has a high incidence in Asia with a high prevalence in China and Japan.(7) The incidence is associated with race found in Asia, there are several countries with an incidence *H. pylori* which is low as in ethnic Malays seen in Malaysia (8). In Indonesia, the incidence of *H. pylori* infection remains unclear and controversial because Indonesia is a multiethnic country (incidence ranges from 5.7 – 68%).(8,9) Papua, Batak, and

Bugis ethnicities were found to have a higher risk of *H. pylori* infection compared to the Javanese, Dayak, and Chinese (10). Incidence of *H. pylori* in children of developing countries are ranging from 30 to 50% and are increasing with age (90%). This is associated with poor sanitation and lifestyle because the transmission of these bacteria is from oral-oral and fecal-oral route. In addition to lifestyle, *H. pylori* infection can also be affected by low economic status, normal microbiota and flora, use of clean water, and diet (11).

## **MATERIALS & METHODS**

### ***Participants***

Individuals aged >18 years in BOHO Village, Sianjur Mulamula District, Samosir Regency, North Sumatra Province in October 2021 with positive Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) and Urea Breath Test (UBT) results, and willing to become respondents were included in this study. Individuals who had undergone *H. pylori* eradication therapy and had GERD at the time of sampling were excluded from this study. A total of 100 participants who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in this study.

### ***Procedure and ethical considerations***

The Ethical Committee of University of Universitas Sumatera Utara approved of the study protocol. The researcher informed each participant about the purpose of the study. Furthermore, all participants were informed of their rights to refuse or to discontinue their participation, according to the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration of 1983. Participation in the study was contingent on individual verbal consent.

### ***Measures***

Research subjects were asked to fill out a demographic questionnaire and GERD-Q. Cut-off  $\geq 9$  on GERD-Q is used to determine the presence of GERD in participants. FAT

examination is then carried out to assess the presence of *H. pylori* infection by asking the patient to collect the stool in the container provided. The stool specimen should not be contaminated with water or urine. The specimen is then examined as soon as possible (<18 hours). Detection of *H. pylori* antigen in feces was carried out using an Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA). This test is a rapid immunochromatographic test which is a qualitative detection of *H. pylori* bacteria. The kit uses a specific monoclonal antibody against *H. pylori* antigen. During the test, the stool sample will react with red latex particles coated with anti-*H. pylori* monoclonal antibodies. In the case of a positive sample, the antigen-antibody complex particles migrate along the membrane coated with anti-*H. pylori* monoclonal antibody in the area of test line. The specific antibody reacts with the antigen-antibody particle complex and forms a red line in the T-line area. The green line control indicates the test migration was successful.

## **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

This research is a cross-section analytical study. A descriptive analysis was used to identify samples' characteristics. Chi-square tests of correlations were computed to compare observed frequencies with expected frequencies. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Version 23.0 for Windows.

## **RESULT**

### ***Characteristics of Research Subjects***

This study is conducted in 100 participants consisting of 60 males (60%) and 40 females (40%). Most of the subjects have normal BMI, namely in 38 people (38%) followed by grade I obesity, namely in 28 people (28%).

**Table 1. Characteristics of Research Subjects**

| Characteristics       | Total (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Age                   |           |                |
| > 60 years            | 77        | 77             |
| ≤ 60 years            | 23        | 23             |
| Gender                |           |                |
| Male                  | 60        | 60             |
| Female                | 40        | 40             |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) |           |                |
| Underweight           | 4         | 4              |
| Normal                | 38        | 38             |
| Overweight            | 27        | 27             |
| Obese grade I         | 28        | 28             |
| Obese grade II        | 3         | 3              |

### Helicobacter pylori infection

In the FAT examination, it was found that the majority of research subjects had negative FAT results. The research subjects who had positive results were found in 17 participants (17%), while the subjects who had negative results for *H. pylori* were found in 83 participants (83%).

**Table 2. Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) Examination Results.**

| Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) | n  | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------|----|----------------|
| Positive                 | 18 | 18             |
| Negative                 | 82 | 82             |

### Risk of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD)

There were 20 participants (20%) who were at risk for GERD and 80 participants (80%) who did not have a risk for GERD.

**Table 3. Risk of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD)**

| GERD-Q  | n  | Percentage (%) |
|---------|----|----------------|
| At risk | 20 | 20             |
| No Risk | 80 | 80             |

### The Relationship between Helicobacter pylori Infection and the Risk of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD)

*Chi-square* test showed no relationship between age and the results of the FAT examination with a p-value of 0.757. Gender and nutritional status were also showing no relationship to the FAT examination with p-values of 0.534 and 0.219.

**Table 4. Relationship of Helicobacter pylori infection based on Fecal antigen test (FAT) with GERD Risk**

| Variable           | Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) |          | p value            |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------------|
|                    | Positive                 | Negative |                    |
| Age                |                          |          |                    |
| < 60 years old     | 15                       | 62       | 0.757 <sup>a</sup> |
| ≥ 60 years old     | 3                        | 20       |                    |
| Gender             |                          |          |                    |
| Male               | 10                       | 52       | 0.534 <sup>a</sup> |
| Female             | 8                        | 30       |                    |
| Nutritional status |                          |          |                    |
| Underweight        | 1                        | 3        | 0.219 <sup>a</sup> |
| Normoweight        | 5                        | 33       |                    |
| Overweight         | 5                        | 22       |                    |
| Obese grade I      | 5                        | 23       |                    |
| Obese grade II     | 2                        | 1        |                    |

<sup>a</sup>p-value is consider significant if <0.05

### The Relationship between Risk Factor and the Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD)

Test on Helicobacter pylori infection variables based on the results of the Fecal antigen test (FAT) with the risk of GERD using the Chi-Square test shows no relationship between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the risk of GERD with a p-value of 0.367.

| Variable | Stool Antigen Test |          | Total | P value            |
|----------|--------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|
|          | Positive           | Negative |       |                    |
| GERD-Q   |                    |          |       |                    |
| Yes      | 6                  | 19       | 25    | 0.367 <sup>a</sup> |
| No       | 12                 | 63       | 75    |                    |
| Total    | 18                 | 82       | 100   |                    |

<sup>a</sup>p-value is considered significant if <0.05

## DISCUSSION

The role of *H. pylori* (HP) infection for GERD was first reported by Labenz in 1997. The pathogenesis of GERD is based on its potential to increase gastric acid secretion (12). The Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) is a non-invasive test that is easy, fast and inexpensive and can assess response to antimicrobial treatment with a sensitivity of 94% and a specificity of 92%. FAT is widely used in Indonesia. Enzyme immunoassay (EIA) is a FAT method based on polyclonal antibodies and has been shown to have high accuracy. EIA-based tests, such as the Premier Platinum HpSA commercial kit, can be used in Indonesia (15).

In this study, Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) were dominantly positive in samples aged <60 years, namely 15 samples, while in those aged ≥60 years, only 3 samples were positive. In the data analysis conducted, it

was found that there was no relationship between age risk factors and FAT (p-value 0.757). This is in line with research conducted by Kabido *et al.* where H.pylori infection was not related to age (p>0.05). This may also imply that all age groups have additional risk of exposure (16).

Genetiano & Magtibay conducted a similar study on 104 participants ranging from 15 – 76 years old. In this study, the ages were classified into 13-18 years, 19-59 years and  $\geq 60$  years. Positive FAT was more dominant in those aged <60 years, namely 62 samples aged 19-59 years and 6 samples aged 13 – 18 years. Whereas in the age category  $\geq 60$  years, only 2 samples were detected positive. These findings support the result that *H. pylori* seroprevalence increases with age. It is estimated that *H. pylori* infection affects more than half of the adult population worldwide and is now responsible for 75% of all stomach cancer cases (17).

In this study, the dominance of positive FAT results was obtained in males (10 samples) compared to females (8 samples). The results of the chi-square analysis obtained a p-value of 0.534, which means that there is no significant relationship between gender and FAT. Research by Temeshkel *et al.* (2018) found that no association was observed between gender and *H. pylori* infection (p=0.284) (18). These results are not in accordance with the research conducted by Eidha & Huda (2021) on 100 research samples which stated that there was a significant relationship between male (p=0.035) on the incidence of *H. pylori* using FAT examination. Because of this variation, further research is needed to look at the sex-specific relationship to positive FAT results (19).

In this study, each BMI category of normoweight, overweight and obesity grade I had 5 research samples with positive FAT results. Whereas in Obese grade II, there were 2 research samples that had positive FAT results and 1 study sample with positive FAT result who had an underweight

BMI. From the results of the research analysis conducted, there was no significant relationship between BMI and FAT (p= 0.219). Similar research was also conducted in 2018 by Alzahrani *et al.* which was carried out on 2 different types of subjects, namely subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus and non-diabetic subjects. Significant results were found in non-diabetic subjects so that there was a relationship between BMI in *H. pylori* infection, which was higher in samples with overweight and obesity (p= 0.013). Meanwhile, in the study sample with type 2 diabetes mellitus, no significant results were obtained with respect to age, gender or BMI (20).

In this study, the results were obtained for patients with a risk of Gastroesophageal Reflux (GERD) who were assessed using the GERD-Q. In the age category <60 years, there were 20 samples and  $\geq 60$  years were 5 research samples. The results of the chi-square analysis also showed no significant difference between age and the risk of GERD (p=0.681). Research conducted by Alrashed *et al.* in 400 students aged 18 – 27 years revealed that GERD is a common problem in this population with a prevalence of 23.8%. Previous study has shown that university students have a higher prevalence of GERD when compared to the general population. This is likely due to the fact that students are more exposed to various risk factors for GERD namely stress and higher consumption of caffeine. However, due to the narrow age range in this population it is understandable that age as a GERD factor is not statistically significant in this study. However, general study population reports indicate that GERD prevalence is associated with increasing age (21).

In contrast, research by Carlos *et al.* (2020) in 1,069 subjects found that age is associated with the incidence of GERD (p <0.001). GERD can develop in a younger age population but remains a disease whose severity increases with age with the highest incidence being found between the ages of

60 – 69 years. GERD in the elderly is associated with physiological changes in the digestive tract, increased gastric acid secretion, and delayed peristalsis and gastric emptying (22).

The results of the study showed that the number of samples with male gender was greater in the results of the GERD-Q examination, namely 16 research samples compared to 9 female samples. Chi-square analysis shows a p-value of 0.812 so it was concluded that there was no significant relationship between gender risk factors and GERD risk. In a study conducted by Alrashed, regarding the relationship between gender and GERD risk, male students have a higher risk of developing GERD than female students (21).

This study obtained a dominant sample with positive results with a normoweight Body Mass Index (BMI) interpretation of 8 research samples followed, 7 samples with Obese grade I BMI, 6 overweight samples and research samples with underweight BMI and Obese grade II with respectively - each as many as 2 research samples. The results of the analysis also showed that there was no significant relationship between BMI risk factors and GERD ( $p = 0.299$ ). Research conducted by Carlos *et al.* also showed an insignificant relationship ( $p=0.162$ ). Obesity and BMI are risk factors for reflux disease (especially in Western populations). The relationship between these two variables is not coincidental because the pathophysiology of GERD is mostly related to overweight and obesity (23).

In a study conducted on a population of all individuals who were infected with *H. pylori* on Samosir Island in October 2021. At a minimum calculation of 52 samples were taken which had to meet the criteria of the study, namely individuals aged 18-50 years, samples that would later carry out examination of the Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) and all of these samples were willing to become respondents and signed an informed consent. Individuals who had

undergone *H. pylori* eradication therapy and who had experienced GERD at the time of sampling were the exclusion criteria in this study. In a study conducted by Alzoubi *et al.*, it was concluded that FAT can be used in individuals who have undergone eradication therapy to assess the success of treatment of *H. Pylori* infection. Therefore, individuals who meet these criteria are excluded because they can affect the results of the study (24).

The Fecal Antigen Test in this study showed that more research subjects had negative results for *Helicobacter pylori* infection than subjects who had positive results. The study subjects who had positive results on the Fecal Antigen Test (FAT) were as many as 18 people (18%), while the subjects who had negative results was 82 people (82%) .

Based on the GERD-Q score, 20 people (20%) are at risk for GERD and 80 people (80%) do not have GERD risk. Research conducted by Simarmata *et al.*, in 2020 showed a significant correlation between GERD-Q in patients suspected of having GERD in the Endoscopy unit of Hasan Sadikin Hospital (25).

In this study a test was carried out on the *H. pylori* infection based on the results of the Fecal antigen test with the risk of GERD using the Chi-Square test. The significance value indicates that there is no relationship between *H. pylori* infection and the risk of GERD with a p-value of 0.367. These results are in line with a study conducted by Niknam *et al* on 1916 patients where 45.6% of the respondents were diagnosed with GERD which found no significant difference from *H. pylori* findings in patients with GERD and without GERD (26).

Another study conducted in healthy young Japanese volunteers, conducted by Tanaka *et al.*, aimed to determine the prevalence and risk factors for *H. pylori* and GERD and their risk factors. This study was in line with our study where *H. pylori* infection had no effect on the prevalence of GERD, but obesity was a risk factor for GERD.

Research conducted by Kurniawan *et al* in 2019 also showed no differences in *H. pylori* antigen in GERD and non-GERD patients at Atma Jaya Hospital (27). Based on the results of this study and the findings of other studies that have been presented in this section, it is also necessary to consider other risk factors other than *H. pylori* infection. Infection from *H. pylori* is still a controversy as a definite causative factor for GERD, both from its mechanism as a protective or aggressive factor. Age, gender, and even obesity are other factors that can be considered as the development of GERD

## CONCLUSION

This study shows that there is no relationship between *H. pylori* infection and the risk of GERD. There are Batak ethnic groups on Samosir Island, but the data available does not provide sufficient evidence to determine the true relationship between the two. Therefore, further research is needed that considers risk factors for GERD other than HP infection.

## Declaration by Authors

**Ethical Approval:** Approved

**Acknowledgement:** None

**Source of Funding:** None

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. El-Serag HB, Sweet S, Winchester CC, Dent J. Update on the epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease: A systematic review. *Gut*. 2014;63(6):871–80.
2. Vakil N, Van Zanten S V., Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R, Bianchi LK, et al. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: A global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2006;101(8):1900–20.
3. Pace F, Bazzoli F, Fiocca R, Mario F Di, Savarino V, Vigneri S, et al. The Italian validation of the Montreal global definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2009;21(4):304–18.
4. Nirwan JS, Hasan SS, Babar ZUD, Conway BR, Ghori MU. Global Prevalence and Risk Factors of Gastro-oesophageal Reflux Disease (GORD): Systematic Review with Meta-analysis. *Sci Rep [Internet]*. 2020;10(1):1–14. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62795-1>
5. Tack J, Pandolfino JE. Pathophysiology of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(2):277–88.
6. Scida S, Russo M, Miraglia C, Leandro G, Franzoni L, Meschi T, et al. Relationship between helicobacter pylori infection and GERD. *Acta Biomed*. 2018;89(4):40–3.
7. Mentis A, Lehours P, Mégraud F. Epidemiology and Diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori infection. *Helicobacter*. 2015; 20:1–7.
8. Miftahussurur M, Yamaoka Y. Diagnostic Methods of Helicobacter pylori Infection for Epidemiological Studies: Critical Importance of Indirect Test Validation. *Biomed Res Int*. 2016;2016.
9. Syam AF, Miftahussurur M, Makmun D, Nusi IA, Zain LH, Zulkhairi, et al. Risk factors and prevalence of Helicobacter pylori in five largest islands of Indonesia: A preliminary study. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(11):1–14.
10. Syam AF, Miftahussurur M, Uswan WB, Simanjuntak D, Yamaoka Y. Accuracy of urine test as a diagnostic tool for helicobacter pylori infection in Indonesian population. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol [Internet]*. 2015; 30:129.
11. Kotilea K, Bontems P, Touati E, Kotilea K, Bontems P, Epidemiology ET, et al. Epidemiology, Diagnosis and Risk Factors of Helicobacter pylori Infection To cite this version: HAL Id: pasteur-02868133 Epidemiology , Diagnosis and Risk factors of Helicobacter pylori infection. 2020.
12. Yucel O. Interactions between Helicobacter pylori and gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Esophagus [Internet]*. 2019;16(1):52–62.
13. Riedel S, Hobden JA, Miller S, Morse SA, Mietzner TA, Jawetz E, et al., editors. *Vibrio, Aeromonas, Campylobacter, and Helicobacter*. In: Jawetz, Melnick & Adelberg's Medical Microbiology. 28th editi. New York Chicago San Francisco Athens London: McGraw Hill; 2019. (a LANGE medical book).
14. Riedel S, Hobden JA, Miller S, Morse SA, Mietzner TA, Jawetz E, et al., editors. *Vibrio, Aeromonas, Campylobacter, and Helicobacter*. In: Jawetz, Melnick & Adelberg's Medical Microbiology. 28th editi. New York Chicago San Francisco Athens

- London: McGraw Hill; 2019. (a LANGE medical book).
15. Lestari HM, Gustinanda J, Tejaputri NA, Tumpu NA, Radifan T, Louisa M. Stool Antigen Examination as a Diagnostic Tool for Dyspeptic Patient caused by Helicobacter pylori Infection: A Case-based Literature Review. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci*. 2021 Sep 11;9(F):305-309. <https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2021.5568>
  16. Kabido, H. U., Nfongeh, J. F., Fadayomi, V. K., Ifeanyi, O., Adibe, A. S. K., Dalhat, N. T., & Abubakar, Y. J. (2022). Epidemiological evaluation of associated risk factors of Helicobacter pylori infection using noninvasive methods: A case study of peptic ulcer patients in Lafia, Nigeria. *International Journal of Epidemiology and Health Sciences*.
  17. Genetiano<sup>1</sup>, M. L., & Magtibay, C. M. (2021). Comparison of stool antigen assay (HpSA) and urea breath test (UBT) in detecting Helicobacter pylori. *Asia Pacific Journal of Allied Health Sciences*// Volume, 4(1).
  18. Tameshkel FS, Niya MHK, Kheyri Z, Azizi D, Roozafzai F, Khorrami S (2018). The evaluation of diagnostic and predictive values of Helicobacter pylori stool antigen test in Iranian patients with dyspepsia. *Iranian Journal of Pathology* 13(1):38-44.
  19. Eidha, A. B. H., & Huda, M. B. (2021). Screening for the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection among dyspeptic patients using simple fecal antigen and serum antibody diagnostic methods at Mukalla city Hospitals, Hadhramout, Yemen. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 15(6), 325-333.
  20. Alzahrani AM, Al Zaidi AA, Alzahrani SM, Binmahfouz SA, Farahat FM. Association between type 2 diabetes mellitus and *Helicobacter pylori* infection among Saudi patients attending National Guard Primary Health Care Centers in the Western Region, 2018. *J Family Community Med*. 2020 Jan-Apr;27(1):8-14.
  21. Alrashed AA, Aljammaz KI, Pathan A, Mandili AA, Almatrafi SA, Almotire MH, Bahkali SM. Prevalence and risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease among Shaqra University students, Saudi Arabia. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2019 Feb;8(2):462-467
  22. Commisso, A., & Lim, F. (2019). *Lifestyle Modifications in Adults and Older Adults with Chronic Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD)*. *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*, 42(1), 64–74. doi:10.1097/cnq.0000000000000239
  23. Carlos Manterola and others, Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a population-based cross-sectional study in southern Chile, *Gastroenterology Report*, Volume 8, Issue 4, August 2020,
  24. Alzoubi H, Al-Mnayyis A, Al rfoa I, et al. (2020) The Use of 13C-Urea Breath Test for Non-Invasive Diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori Infection in Comparison to Endoscopy and Stool Antigen Test. *Diagnostics* 10(7). MDPI AG: 448.
  25. Simarmata, D. O., Wahyudi, Y., Bestari, M. B., & Supriadi, R. (2020). Relationship between Gastroesophageal reflux disease questionnaire (GERD-Q) score and reflux oesophagitis in gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) suspected patients in Bandung. *The Indonesian Journal of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Digestive Endoscopy*, 20(3), 154-160.
  26. Niknam, R., Lankarani, K.B., Moghadami, M. et al. The association between helicobacter pylori infection and erosive gastroesophageal reflux disease; a cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis* 22, 267 (2022).
  27. Kurniawan, A. N., Tenggara, R., & Moehario, L. H. (2019). Deteksi Antigen Helicobacter pylori Pada Pasien GERD dan Non-GERD di Rumah Sakit Atma Jaya. *Journal Of the Indonesian Medical Association*, 69(8), 252-257.

How to cite this article: Sigit Anugerah Putra SN. The relationship between helicobacter pylori infection and the risk of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) in Batak Ethnic in Boho Village, Sianjur Mulamula District, Samosir Regency, North Sumatra Province. *International Journal of Research and Review*. 2023; 10(8): 15-21.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20230803>

\*\*\*\*\*