

Balancing Urban Development: Literature on the Nexus Between Affordable Housing and Urban Sprawl

Anthony Dornubari Enwin¹, Tamunoikuronibo Dawaye Ikiriko²

¹Department of Architecture, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

²Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Anthony Dornubari Enwin

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20231259>

ABSTRACT

The complex relationship between affordable housing and urban sprawl has major implications for cities all over the world. While urban sprawl offers lower housing costs and increased space as benefits, it also does present serious environmental, social, and economic issues. Undoubtedly, the rising cost of housing in the urban centers has forced the no income and the low-income populations to the periphery and thus increasing economic inequality and displacement. The growing urban sprawl has given rise to environmental degradation, social isolation, and economic inefficiency, which is further intensifying the displacement of low-income individuals and their families. This again is the remote cause of overcrowding as it restrains upward economic mobility. The aim of this research is to examine the complex relationship that exists between affordable housing and urban sprawl, and to propose ways to balance these dynamics. Key objectives include analyzing the adverse impacts of urban sprawl on the environment, societal fabric, and economic productivity; investigating the driving forces behind rising housing costs and their repercussions on low-income households; evaluating existing policies and strategies addressing affordable housing and urban sprawl; and proposing innovative solutions for sustainable urban development with equitable access to affordable housing. With the use of a literature review methodology, this study offers a comprehensive strategy. Stronger land use planning and zoning, improved public transportation and active transportation

infrastructure, the expansion, building and preservation of more affordable housing, the promotion of social justice and community involvement and the use of technology and data-driven approaches are policy recommendations. The adoption of micro-units and tiny homes, co-housing and shared living arrangements, adaptive reuse and mixed-use development, community land trusts and housing cooperatives are some of the innovative recommendations. By striking a balance between affordable housing and sustainable urban development, these strategies hope to foster inclusive and thriving cities.

Keywords: Urban sprawl, Affordable housing, Sustainable urban development, Low-Income Households, Land-Use Policy, Compact city planning, Community engagement

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Urban sprawl and affordable housing are intertwined issues that present a major challenge to cities globally. Sustainable and thriving urban environments require striking the right balance between providing for equitable access to housing and accommodating population growth.

There are numerous ramifications for the environment, society, and economy from the intricate relationship between urban sprawl and affordable housing (McKinney, 2002). At first, urban sprawl (defined as unchecked urban growth into neighboring rural areas) offers advantages like cheaper

housing and more space. On the other hand, its long-term effects are significant, posing problems for community sustainability and housing affordability.

Natural habitats and agricultural land are converted as a result of urban sprawl, which disrupts ecosystems and reduces biodiversity (McKinney, 2002). In addition, it promotes a reliance on cars, which exacerbates air and noise pollution (Ewing, Pendall & Chen, 2002), and it fails to properly dispose of waste, which contaminates water supplies. In addition, sprawl's dispersed layout forces people to commute farther, which increases greenhouse gas emissions and exacerbates climate change (Burchell, Downs, McCann & Mukherji, 2005).

Social isolation is a result of sprawl, which creates remote communities with little access to basic facilities and services. This is especially true for vulnerable groups of people who lack dependable transportation (Sallis & Glanz, 2006). According to Brownfield et al. (2013), this access restriction exacerbates quality of life gaps and erodes community ties, which makes it more difficult for these areas to have cohesive social groups.

From an economic standpoint, serving broad settlements with infrastructure and services puts a pressure on municipal resources and encourages inefficiency (Frumkin & Galaskiewicz 2004). Car dependency restricts the amount of land accessible for economic applications, makes it more difficult to access a qualified workforce, and increases traffic congestion, lengthier commutes, and lost productivity (Giuliano & Agarwal, 2004).

Urban sprawl makes long-term affordability issues worse, despite the initial impression of lower housing costs (McKinney, 2002). Because of its negative economic effects (which include fewer job prospects and lower wages) low-income households find it more and harder to afford residence inside city limits. Furthermore, as inexpensive housing alternatives spread outward from

city centers, these people' transit costs and accessibility challenges increase.

A comprehensive solution is necessary to address the intertwined concerns of affordable housing and urban sprawl. It is imperative to shift toward urban development approaches that are more fair, sustainable, and compact. Important actions include putting public transportation first, funding mixed-use projects, and enacting laws that support affordable housing in city cores (Handy, Boarnet, Ewing, & Killingsworth, 2002). In addition, less reliance on sprawl can result from initiatives to lessen social and economic inequality, promoting inclusive and sustainable communities for all.

1.1 Aim of the Study

To investigate the complex relationship between affordable housing and urban sprawl in cities around the world, and propose a multifaceted approach to achieve a balance between them.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

- i. Analyze the negative impacts of urban sprawl on the environment, social fabric, and economic productivity of cities.
- ii. Examine the factors contributing to the rising cost of housing and its impact on low-income households.
- iii. Evaluate existing policies and strategies aimed at addressing affordable housing and urban sprawl.
- iv. Propose and assess new policy recommendations and innovative solutions for creating sustainable and equitable urban environments with affordable housing.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

A conceptual review of key definitions was done in this section which includes urban sprawl and affordable housing.

2.1 Defining Urban Sprawl

The delineation of urban sprawl remains elusive within urban development and

planning, lacking a definitive definition and universally accepted measurement standards, as underscored by numerous scholarly investigations (Banai & DePriest, 2014; USHUD 1999, Bourne 2001, Johnson 2001, Hayden 2004, Galster et al. 2001, Hasse, 2004; Schneider & Woodcock, 2008; Hasse & Lathrop, 2003a; Hasse & Lathrop, 2003b). As noted by Calthorpe and Fulton (2011), 'Sprawl means different things to different people'. Some perceive it as an inadvertent consequence of suburban living intertwined with housing and vehicular commuting patterns. In contrast, others regard it as an inefficient utilization of resources (land, water, air, and energy), adversely impacting civic life, and potentially the broader economy and society (Kunstler 1993, Duany et al. 2000).

The multifaceted discourse and perplexity surrounding sprawl emanate from the diverse professional viewpoints entrenched in distinct disciplinary backgrounds. Each specialization boasts its own vernacular in interpreting sprawl's nuances (Hayden, 2004). This divergence in professional perspectives, spanning architects, planners, real estate agents, bankers, and land-use regulators, contributes to the absence of a unified definition. Consequently, this ambiguity engenders challenges in data collection, methodological application, technological deployment, and the proactive anticipation and mitigation of sprawl-related ramifications.

In the realm of urban planning, Hayden (2004) characterizes sprawl as 'an extensive real estate development process typified by low-density, scattered, discontinuous, and car-dependent construction, predominantly unfolding at the fringes of receding older suburbs and contracting city cores.' Similarly, Bourne (2001) depicts sprawl encompassing extensions at suburban peripheries, encroachments into environmentally sensitive green spaces and agricultural lands, exacerbated highway congestion, and the emergence of homogeneous, low-density, single-family housing enclaves. This manifestation of

'suburban development' often manifests as haphazard, disorganized, inadequately serviced, and largely unplanned. Despite divergent perspectives, these definitions indicate sprawl indicators, inclusive of population density and the distribution of dwelling units per acre, hinting at its multidimensional nature within urban landscapes.

2.2 Defining Affordable Housing

The concept of affordable housing is intricate and multifaceted, often surrounded by uncertainty (Enwin & Ikiriko, 2023). In addition to housing that receives public support, the term is commonly used to describe any type of housing - regardless of tenure - that is deemed affordable for particular households or groups after consideration of income brackets, housing costs, and other pertinent variables. There have been suggestions that the concept of affordability in housing should be abandoned due to the lack of agreement on what it means. This opinion is based on the conviction that it is no longer useful in addressing the difficulties households face in meeting their housing needs.

This paper will dissect housing affordability into three distinct perspectives, each highlighting different facets of its essence:

2.2.1 Income-Based Affordability

Adeyemi and Ademiluyi (2019) define affordable housing as that which does not impose an undue burden on household budgets, ensuring sufficient resources for other essential needs. This definition emphasizes the relative nature of affordability, anchoring it to a percentage of household income (30%). It emphasizes that housing costs should not impose an undue burden on families, allowing them to allocate resources towards other basic necessities. Essentially, this definition frames affordability as a balance between housing expenses and overall well-being, emphasizing its relative nature to maintain financial stability.

2.2.2 Availability-Based Affordability

Availability-Based Affordability pertains to housing being deemed affordable not only due to its financial accessibility but also owing to its presence and accessibility within the housing market, particularly for low- and middle-income households.

This perspective accentuates not just the monetary aspect but also the tangible existence of affordable housing options in the market. Essentially, affordable housing cannot remain solely a theoretical concept; it must be practically obtainable for purchase or rent by low- and middle-income households. This viewpoint acknowledges the complex interplay between income levels and local market dynamics, emphasizing the critical need to address both affordability and availability concurrently.

2.2.3 Quality and Location-Based Affordability

The Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) (2016) defines affordable housing by considering three pivotal facets: adequate quality, suitable location, and cost that doesn't surpass a specified percentage of household income. This perspective widens the scope by encompassing three crucial elements:

- i. **Adequate Quality:** This emphasizes the necessity for affordable housing to meet acceptable standards concerning space, amenities, and overall living conditions.
- ii. **Suitable Location:** Highlighting the importance of housing situated in areas with access to essential services, amenities, and employment opportunities.
- iii. **Cost Relative to Income:** Central to this perspective is ensuring housing costs don't exceed a designated percentage of household income.

This viewpoint demonstrates that affordability isn't solely determined by cost but underscores the interconnectedness of quality, location, and cost in shaping a

genuinely affordable and sustainable living environment.

These three perspectives offer invaluable insights into the multifaceted nature of affordable housing within the realm of urban planning and development.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

This work is based on a sound technique that is mainly concerned with an extensive review of the literature. To locate scholarly publications and research, conduct thorough searches using reputable databases and academic repositories like Google Scholar, PubMed, JSTOR, ScienceDirect (Elsevier), SpringerLink, Taylor & Francis Online, Wiley Online Library, and SAGE Journals. Paperwork and policy documents were gathered, an analysis of the intricate connection between affordable housing and urban sprawl.

This methodical approach involved the synthesis and study of many viewpoints, empirical discoveries, and theoretical frameworks from reputable academic platforms and publications across several domains. By looking at a variety of scholarly sources, the goal of this study was to create a thorough grasp of the numerous effects and difficulties related to urban sprawl and affordable housing.

The process of conducting a thorough literature study was fundamental in facilitating the identification of important themes, pressing issues, and possible resolutions. Using information from a variety of academic publications and well-established literature in the field, this method made it easier to formulate the recommendations and strategies that were offered in the study.

4.0 FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

4.1 Negative Impacts of Urban Sprawl

Urban sprawl, which is defined by uncontrolled outward expansion and low-density development, has come to define contemporary cities all over the world. This seemingly desirable phenomenon comes at a great cost to the ecology, social cohesion,

and economic output of cities, despite giving perks like larger living spaces and cheaper housing. Analyzing the large body of research on this subject presents a complicated picture of related problems and unfavorable outcomes:

4.1.1 Environmental Degradation

Land Consumption: Rapid urban sprawl causes natural and agricultural land to be quickly converted to urban uses, which causes habitat loss, fragmentation, and a fall in biodiversity (Kahn, 2000). According to Ewing et al. (2002), this may impair ecosystem services and exacerbate climate change.

Pollution: Dependence on cars in cities increases air pollution, mostly from particulate matter and greenhouse gasses (Kenworthy & Laube, 1999). Furthermore, pollutants are introduced into rivers by stormwater runoff from impermeable surfaces, which affects the quality of the water (Hirschhorn, 2001).

Resource Depletion: According to Ewing et al. (2002), sprawl strains infrastructure networks, resulting in higher energy consumption and a greater reliance on non-renewable resources. This aggravates environmental problems even more and fuels the global warming trend.

4.1.2 Social Cohesion

Increased Social Isolation: According to Jackson (2011), sprawl frequently results in car-centric neighborhoods with few public areas and amenities, which isolates inhabitants from one another and fosters a lack of social interaction. Social capital, cohesiveness within the community, and mental health may all suffer as a result.

Reduced Access to Services: Low-income citizens without dependable mobility choices may find it more difficult to get to vital services like public transportation, healthcare, and education due to sprawl (Holzer, 2000). This may make social injustices worse and reduce prospects for upward mobility.

Weakened Civic Engagement: Because of the dispersed nature of urban sprawl, it may be more difficult for locals to get involved in the community and develop a feeling of identity (Fainstein, 2001). This has the potential to erode community resilience and the democratic process.

4.1.3 Economic Productivity

Infrastructure Costs: Large-scale investments in roads, utilities, and other services are necessary for sprawled development, which can be extremely costly for local governments (Fulton, 2001). Resources that might otherwise be allocated to public safety and education may instead be diverted to this.

Transportation expenditures: Due to lengthier commutes and a reliance on private vehicles, residents of sprawling towns have greater transportation expenditures (Kenworthy & Laube, 1999). This can limit economic prospects and lower discretionary income, especially for low-income households.

Lost Productivity: Longer commutes and traffic jams in urban areas can cause economic inefficiencies and lost productivity (Ewing et al., 2002). Competitiveness and economic growth may be hampered by this.

4.2 Rising Housing Costs and the Impact on Low-Income Households

The rising cost of housing in global cities poses a serious problem for people and families, especially those with limited financial resources. The most vulnerable populations are disproportionately affected by this complex issue, which is the result of a confluence of factors. A deeper comprehension of the influencing factors and their far-reaching effects can be achieved by reviewing the body of existing literature.

4.2.1 Contributing Factors to Rising Housing Costs

Limited Supply: The scarcity of reasonably priced housing is one of the main causes of rising housing costs. Restrictive zoning laws, a lack of funding for the construction of affordable housing, and the conversion of affordable existing units into luxury housing are some of the causes of this shortage (HUD, 2023; Kroll, Schwartz & Smith, 2020).

Increasing Demand: As a result of competition for a small supply of housing brought about by growing populations and a greater desire for urban living, particularly in desirable areas, prices are rising (Glaeser & Kahn, 2006). Factors such as speculation in the housing market and investment purchases aggravate this phenomenon.

Rising Construction Costs: A number of factors, including a lack of labor, disruptions in the supply chain, and rising material costs, have contributed to a steady increase in construction costs (Enwin & Ikiriko, 2023; NAHB, 2023). Consumers bear the additional costs as a result of these increases, which further increases the affordability challenge.

Investment and Speculation: Higher property values and the commoditization of housing have resulted from increased investment in the housing market, especially from institutional investors (Kroll, et al., 2020). Profit is given priority over affordability in this trend, which makes it harder for low-income households to compete.

Regulations and Policy: Inefficient housing policies impede the supply of affordable housing and indirectly contribute to cost increases (HUD, 2023). Examples of these policies include tax breaks for developers of luxury homes and limits on rent control.

4.2.2 Effects on Households with Low Incomes

Housing Instability: Low-income households are forced into unstable housing situations, such as overcrowding, subpar housing, and frequent moves, by rising rents

and a lack of affordable options (HUD, 2020). Their chances for an education as well as their health and well-being may suffer as a result.

Displacement: Low-income households are frequently forced to move to less desirable areas farther away from jobs, schools, and support networks as a result of rents exceeding their budgets (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2023).

Financial strain: Due to the high cost of housing, low-income households frequently have to choose between basic needs in order to afford rent, frequently sacrificing food, healthcare, and other necessities (Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 2023).

Restricted Economic Mobility: Low-income families find it challenging to save and invest their income due to high housing costs, which makes it more difficult for them to move up the economic ladder and attain upward mobility (HUD, 2020).

4.3 Existing Policies and Strategies for Affordable Housing and Urban Sprawl

Ensuring affordable housing for all while promoting sustainable urban development and mitigating urban sprawl are twin challenges faced by cities worldwide. To address these interrelated issues, governments have tried a variety of approaches, with differing degrees of success. First, let's examine a few significant international case studies:

4.3.1 Global Case Studies

1. Singapore

According to Fujii and Ray (2021) and Ho (2021), Singapore's approach entails a strong government commitment to land acquisition and development, making use of the Housing Development Board (HDB) to provide subsidized housing for a range of income brackets. This approach leads to improved housing affordability and a condensed urban layout bolstered by effective public transportation, but it also presents challenges like a higher cost of

living and limited options in private housing.

2. Vienna, Austria

Vienna, Austria's approach entails city ownership and management of a sizeable portion of the housing stock, ensuring long-term affordability, while heavily involving tenants in decision-making, emphasizing urban revitalization and protecting green spaces, culminating in improved quality of life, a variety of reasonably priced housing options, and vibrant public spaces. However, as Kohlbacher (2020) and Bosswick, Heckmann, Lüken-Klaßen and Kohlbacher (2006) discuss, difficulties with rising housing costs due to increased demand and integration issues faced by recent immigrants still exist.

3. Curitiba, Brazil

Planning and zoning laws are integrated to promote development along transportation corridors in Curitiba, which places a high priority on public transportation, particularly the Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system. Reducing reliance on automobiles, optimizing the transportation system, and enhancing air quality are the products of this strategy. It is still necessary to invest more in public services, there are issues with informal settlements, and social inequality (Gouverneur, 2014; Macedo, 2000; Macedo, 2009).

4. Amsterdam, Netherlands

Together with compact urban planning that prioritizes bike infrastructure and walkability, Amsterdam places a strong emphasis on housing cooperatives that provide residents with ownership and control. These initiatives result in higher living standards, more social cohesiveness, and the development of environmentally friendly urban areas. However, as noted by Smets (2004) and Buchholz (2016), difficulties include a lack of affordable housing for newcomers and growing housing costs in popular areas.

1. Hong Kong, China

With a strong public housing program in place to guarantee reasonably priced housing for a sizeable segment of the populace, Hong Kong also employs high-density development tactics to optimize land utilization and reduce sprawl. Increased housing supply, effective land use, and strong economic growth are the outcomes of this. Public housing estates continue to face challenges because of scarce resources and space, high living expenses, and social problems (Chui, 2008; Goodstadt, 2014).

Let's note some fundamental observations from the various strategies for addressing affordable housing and urban sprawl from the aforementioned cases:

- i. Long-term planning and a strong government commitment are essential.
- ii. It is necessary to use a variety of policy tools, such as land acquisition, housing subsidies, and land use regulations.
- iii. Sustainable development requires funding for effective public transportation.
- iv. In order to create just and practical solutions, community involvement and resident participation are essential.
- v. v. Success depends on the adaptation of international best practices to regional settings.

4.3.2 Further Policies and Strategies

The following additional measures, taken from pertinent literature, aim to strike a balance between addressing urban sprawl and guaranteeing affordable housing:

1. Planning for Compact Cities

The goal of this strategy is to lessen sprawl and raise the affordability of housing by encouraging dense, mixed-use development along with effective transportation networks. According to studies by Jenks, Burton and Williams (1996) and Ewing et al. (2002), compact cities have a number of positive environmental effects, such as lower energy use and greenhouse gas emissions. However, as Daniels (2002)

points out, putting such plans into action requires a strong political will as well as overcoming obstacles like land availability and affordability concerns.

2. Inclusionary Zoning

According to this policy, new developments must contain a specific proportion of affordable housing units. Although it works well to increase the supply of housing, in some places it can increase development costs and cause gentrification (Daniels, 2002). As recommended by Krumholz and Angotti (2015), inclusionary zoning can be implemented in conjunction with strategic land acquisitions and community engagement to help mitigate these issues.

3. Subsidies for Affordable Housing

Affordability concerns can be directly addressed by giving low-income households and developers financial support. However, as HUD (2020) points out, subsidies can be expensive and need ongoing support. It is imperative to ensure the sustainability of subsidies and to target them effectively.

4. Community Land Trusts

Long-term affordability and community control can be provided by nonprofit organizations that purchase and oversee land for affordable housing (Udom, Enwin, & Ikiriko, 2023). McKenzie (2016) emphasizes that CLTs frequently need outside assistance and capacity building, as land acquisition can be difficult.

5. Boundaries of Urban Growth

Limiting urban growth can prevent sprawl and save natural resources, according to Nelson and Sanchez (2005). These limits must, however, be complemented by plans for controlling development inside approved zones and guaranteeing a sufficient supply of land for reasonably priced homes.

6. Land Value Taxation

According to Brueckner (1997), taxing land according to its market value can deter speculation and promote efficient land use.

But putting such a system into place calls for careful planning and political support.

7. Investment in Public Transportation

Research by Cervero and Murakami (2009) indicates that investing in effective and reasonably priced public transportation systems can decrease reliance on cars, promote compact development, and enhance access to amenities. Ensuring equitable access, affordability, and dependable coverage, however, continues to be difficult.

8. Community Engagement

Curry (2012), and Irvin and Stansbury (2014) highlight the importance of actively involving residents in planning and decision-making processes when creating policies that address local needs and concerns. It can increase community support for sustainable development, guarantee fair outcomes, and cultivate trust.

4.3.3 Challenges and Effectiveness

Depending on the particular context and method of implementation, these policies and strategies have varying degrees of effectiveness. Political will, funding availability, and institutional capacity are just a few of the important factors that Jenks et al. (1996) and McKenzie (2016) have pointed out. Furthermore, as Daniels (2002) points out, tackling the issues of affordable housing and urban sprawl calls for a comprehensive strategy that incorporates a variety of tactics and takes into account any potential interactions or unintended consequences.

4.4 Policy Recommendations and Innovative Solutions

Urban sprawl and housing affordability are intertwined issues that call for a comprehensive and multifaceted solution. In order to create sustainable and equitable urban environments with affordable housing, this paper offers policy recommendations and creative solutions, drawing on lessons learned from

international case studies and pertinent literature.

4.4.1 Policy Recommendations

The following are the Policy Recommendations:

1. Strengthen Land Use Planning and Zoning

- i. Put into effect comprehensive land use plans that give affordable housing, mixed-use zoning, and compact development top priority.
- ii. Create unambiguous and binding zoning laws that promote development inside defined urban growth boundaries and discourage sprawl.
- iii. Create transit-oriented development (TOD) plans that encourage the construction of high-density housing close to routes for public transportation.
- iv. Use community land trusts (CLTs) as a way to manage and acquire land for the construction of affordable housing.

2. Enhance Public Transportation and Active Transportation Infrastructure

- i. To offer dependable and reasonably priced alternatives to owning a car, invest in developing and upgrading public transportation networks, such as those for buses, trains, and light rail.
- ii. To increase efficiency and safety for users of public transportation and cyclists, dedicate lanes for buses and bicycles.
- iii. Construct a system of connected bike lanes, sidewalks, and green areas to support healthy living and active commuting.
- iv. Launch awareness campaigns and incentive programs to encourage walking, bicycling, and carpooling.

3. Expand and Preserve Affordable Housing

- i. Implement inclusionary zoning laws mandating that developers reserve a certain proportion of newly constructed units for affordable housing.

- ii. Provide funds and tax breaks to encourage the development, repair, and maintenance of affordable housing units.
- iii. To prevent evictions and guarantee long-term affordability, put rent control and stabilization measures into place.
- iv. To meet a range of housing needs, investigate cutting-edge housing models like shared living arrangements, co-housing communities, and micro-units.

4. Foster Community Engagement and Social Equity

- i. Create inclusive, transparent planning procedures that actively involve locals in decision-making throughout the entire process.
- ii. Provide funds and initiatives to meet the unique requirements of vulnerable groups, including elderly individuals, low-income families, and those with disabilities.
- iii. Encourage social inclusion by giving access to necessary services and facilities and incorporating affordable housing into a variety of neighborhoods.
- iv. Fund community development programs that encourage harmony among neighbors and provide them the ability to influence their neighborhood's development.

5. Leverage Technology and Data-Driven Approaches

- i. Prioritize investments based on the areas that most require affordable housing by using data analytics tools.
- ii. Create digital platforms to expedite the application process and match renters with available affordable housing units.
- iii. Use energy-efficient construction techniques and smart technology to lessen the environmental effect of residential developments.
- iv. Encourage the study and creation of novel building materials and

construction methods to increase sustainability and affordability.

4.4.2 Innovative Solutions

1. Micro-units and Tiny Homes

- i. Investigate the creation of small, effective housing units to optimize land use and affordability.
- ii. Establish design guidelines and standards to guarantee the caliber and security of microunits and tiny houses.
- iii. Encourage collaborations among developers, architects, and housing providers in order to commercialize cutting-edge micro-housing concepts.

2. Co-housing and Shared Living Arrangements

- i. Encourage the growth of co-housing communities, where people pool resources and amenities to cut expenses and improve community relations.
- ii. Look into creative shared living models, especially for young professionals and older adults looking for affordable places to live.
- iii. Offer monetary and technical support to co-housing and shared living projects in order to facilitate their creation and management.

3. Adaptive Reuse and Mixed-Use Development

- i. Convert existing structures, such as warehouses and industrial areas, into reasonably priced housing by repurposing and reimagining them.
- ii. Encourage mixed-use development initiatives that blend retail, commercial, and residential space to build thriving, walkable neighborhoods.
- iii. Put laws and financial incentives into place to support sustainable development principles and the adaptive reuse of buildings.

4. Community Land Trusts and Housing Cooperatives

- i. Provide community land trusts (CLTs) the authority to help communities buy

and manage land for affordable housing.

- ii. Encourage the growth and development of resident-owned housing cooperatives in order to give the community long-term control and affordability.
- iii. Assist CLTs and housing cooperatives in developing their capacity and supply resources to guarantee their long-term viability.

5. Innovative Financing Models

- i. Explore public-private partnerships (PPPs) as means of luring private funding for the construction of affordable housing.
- ii. Create cutting-edge financial instruments, like microloans and green bonds, to make it easier for developers and homeowners to obtain reasonably priced financing.
- iii. Promote policies that encourage investment in sustainable and equitable development and push for more public funding for initiatives aimed at providing affordable housing.

CONCLUSION

To sum up, this research has illuminated the complex interplay between affordable housing and urban sprawl, emphasizing their significant effects on social cohesion, environmental sustainability, and economic prosperity in urban areas. The results highlight how urgent it is to implement a thorough strategy that balances affordable housing with environmentally friendly urban growth. This study has clarified the difficulties faced by low-income households by examining the negative consequences of urban sprawl and the increase in housing costs. It has also highlighted the critical role that inclusive policies and creative solutions play in addressing these issues.

The comprehensive strategies put forth include strengthening land use planning and zoning, improving the infrastructure for public transportation and active transportation, expanding and building more and more affordable housing, fostering

community involvement and social justice, and utilizing technology and data-driven techniques.

Microunits and tiny homes, co-housing and shared living arrangements, adaptive reuse and mixed-use development, community land trusts, housing cooperatives, and innovative financing models are just a few of the noteworthy and innovative solutions that are recommended. By striking a balance between affordable housing and sustainable urban development, these strategies seek to create inclusive and dynamic cities.

A practical route to achieving sustainable and equitable urban development is to take lessons from globally implemented policies, as research by Ewing et al. (2002), Krumholz & Angotti (2015), Nelson and Sanchez (1995), Cervero and Murakami (2009), and others has shown. But reaching this balance will require continued cooperation and dedication from local authorities, developers, associations, and citizens. The accomplishment of these goals depends on our joint efforts to create inclusive urban settings that provide social justice and affordable housing, all the while maintaining environmental sustainability. Looking ahead, thriving cities that satisfy the various needs of both the current and future generations will be largely dependent on ongoing research, improved policies, and community-driven initiatives.

Declaration by Authors

Acknowledgement: None

Source of Funding: None

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Adeyemi, A. O., & Ademiluyi, I. A. (2019). Affordable Housing Provision and Urban Sustainability in Nigeria: A Case Study of LagosState. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 49, 101569.
2. Banai, R., & DePriest, T. (2014). Urban sprawl: Definitions, data, methods of measurement, and environmental consequences. *Journal of Sustainability Education*, 7(2), 1-15.
3. Bosswick, W., Heckmann, F., Lüken-Klaßen, D., & Kohlbacher, J. (2007). Housing and integration of migrants in Europe.
4. Bourne, L. (2001). The urban sprawl debate: Myths, realities, and hidden agendas.
5. Bourne, L. (2001). The urban sprawl debate: Myths, realities, and hidden agendas.
6. Brueckner, J. K. (1997). *Urban sprawl: Diagnosis and remedies*. International Monetary Fund.
7. Buchholz, T. (2016). *Struggling for recognition and affordable housing in Amsterdam and Hamburg: resignation, resistance, relocation*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
8. Burchell, R. W., Downs, A., McCann, B., & Mukherji, S. (2005). *Sprawl costs: Economic impacts of unchecked development*.
9. Calthorpe, P., & Fulton, W. (2011). "Designing the Region" and "Designing the Region is Designing the Neighborhood": from *The Regional City: Planning for the End of Sprawl* (2001). In *The City Reader* (pp. 416-422). Routledge.
10. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. (2023, October 25). *The high cost of housing is squeezing low-income families: 2023 edition*.
11. Cervero, R., & Murakami, J. (2009). The travel patterns of transit riders and the spatial distribution of land uses: Evidence from the San Francisco Bay Area. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 75(4), 451-464.
12. Chui, E. (2008). Ageing in place in Hong Kong—Challenges and opportunities in a capitalist Chinese city. *Ageing international*, 32, 167-182.
13. Curry, N. (2012). Community participation in spatial planning: exploring relationships between professional and lay stakeholders. *Local Government Studies*, 38(3), 345-366.
14. Daniels, P. W. (2002). *The city reader*. Routledge.
15. Duany, A., Plater-Zyberk, E., & Speck, J. (2000). *Suburban nation—the rise of sprawl and the decline of the American dream*. New York: North Point Press.
16. Enwin, A.D. & Ikiriko, T.D. (2023). *Understanding the Challenges of Affordable Housing Provision in Nigeria: An Analysis of Key Factors and Policy Implications*. *European Journal of Theoretical and*

- Applied Sciences, 1(4),420- 436. DOI: 10.59324/ejtas.2023.1(4).38
17. Ewing, R., Pendall, R., & Chen, D. (2002). Measuring sprawl and its impact. Smart Growth America.
 18. Fainstein, S. S. (2001). The city builders: Property development in the modern city. Blackwell Publishers Ltd.
 19. Frumkin, P., & Galaskiewicz, J. (2004). Institutional isomorphism and public sector organizations. *Journal of public administration research and theory*, 14(3), 283-307.
 20. Fujii, T., & Ray, R. (2021). Singapore as a sustainable city: Past, present, and the future. In *The Singapore Economy* (pp. 143-192). Routledge.
 21. Fulton, W. (2001). The new economy of cities: The role of metropolitan governance. Brookings Institution Press.
 22. Galster G et al. (2001) Wrestling sprawl to the ground: Defining and Measuring an elusive concept *Housing Policy Debate* 12 (4): 681-717
 23. Giuliano, G., & Agarwal, A. (2004). Land use impacts of transportation investments. *The geography of urban transportation*, 3, 237-273.
 24. Glaeser, E. L., & Kahn, M. E. (2006). The greening of urban economies: How social capital and the environment shape prosperity. Brookings Institution Press.
 25. Goodstadt, L. F. (2014). Poverty in the midst of affluence: How Hong Kong mismanaged its prosperity. Hong Kong University Press.
 26. Gouverneur, D. (2014). Planning and design for future informal settlements: shaping the self-constructed city. Routledge.
 27. Handy, S. L., Boarnet, M. G., Ewing, R., & Killingsworth, R. E. (2002). How the built environment affects physical activity: views from urban planning. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 23(2), 64-73.
 28. Hasse, J. & Lathrop, R. (2003a). A housing unit-level approach to characterizing residential sprawl. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*. 69(9), 1021-1029.
 29. Hasse, J. & Lathrop, R. (2003b). Land resource impact indicators of urban sprawl. *Applied Geography*, 23, 159-175.
 30. Hasse, J. (2004). A geospatial approach to measuring new development tracts for characteristics of sprawl. *Landscape Journal*, 23, 1-4.
 31. Hayden, D. (2004). *A Field Guide to Sprawl*. New York: W. W. Norton and Company
 32. Hirschhorn, J. S. (2001). *Sprawl: A comprehensive reference guide*. Greenwood Publishing Group.
 33. Ho, K. C. (2021). Land and Housing in Singapore: Three Conversations with Anne Haila. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 80(2), 325-351.
 34. Holzer, H. J. (2000). Where the jobs are: The spatial distribution of employment in the US economy. Brookings Institution Press.
 35. HUD (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development). (2020). The state of the nation's housing 2020.
 36. HUD (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development). (2023, January). A place to call home: The federal strategic plan to address the nation's housing crisis. <https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1237/usich-opening-doors-federal-strategic-plan-end-homelessness/>
 37. Irvin, R. A., & Stansbury, J. (2004). Citizen participation in decision making: is it worth the effort? *Public administration review*, 64(1), 55-65.
 38. Jenks, M., Burton, E., & Williams, K. (1996). *The compact city: A sustainable urban form?* Spon Press.
 39. Johnson, M. (2001). Environmental impacts of urban sprawl: a survey of the literature and proposed research agenda. *Environment and Planning*, 33, 717-735.
 40. Kahn, M. E. (2000). The environmental impact of suburbanization. *Journal of policy analysis and management*, 19(4), 569-586.
 41. Kenworthy, J. R., & Laube, F. B. (1999). Patterns of automobile dependence in cities: an international overview of key physical and economic dimensions with some implications for urban policy. *Transportation research part a: policy and practice*, 33(7-8), 691-723.
 42. Kohlbacher, J. (2020). Frustrating beginnings: How social ties compensate housing integration barriers for afghan refugees in vienna. *Urban Planning*, 5(3), 127-137.
 43. Kroll, S., Schwartz, A. E., & Smith, L. B. (2020). The impact of institutional investors

- on the U.S. housing market. <https://www.nber.org/taxonomy/term/696>
44. Krumholz, N., & Angotti, T. (2015). *Inclusionary housing: Balancing social equity and market realities*. Island Press.
 45. Kunstler, J. H. (1993). *The geography of nowhere: The rise and decline of America's man-made landscape*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
 46. Macedo, J. (2000). *Land use policies and urbanization of informal settlements: Planning initiatives for environmental protection areas in Curitiba, Brazil*. Florida, EUA: State University System of Florida.
 47. Macedo, J. (2009, June). *Marginality in the Perfect City: Can Curitiba Overcome Brazil's Social Inequality?* In Congress of the Latin American Studies Association.
 48. McKenzie, E. (2016). *Community land trusts in the United States*. Routledge.
 49. McKinney, M. L. (2002). *Urbanization, biodiversity, and conservation: the impacts of urbanization on native species are poorly studied, but educating a highly urbanized human population about these impacts can greatly improve species conservation in all ecosystems*. *Bioscience*, 52(10), 883-890.
 50. National Association of Home Builders (NAHB). (2023, July). *NAHB/Wells Fargo housing market index*. <https://www.nahb.org/news-and-economics/housing-economics/indices/housing-market-index>
 51. National Low Income Housing Coalition. (2023, June). *The gap: A shortage of affordable rental housing*. <https://nlihc.org/gap>
 52. Nelson, A. C., & Sanchez, T. (2005). *The Effectiveness of Urban Containment Regimes in Reducing Exurban Sprawl*." *disP: The Planning Review*, 41 (no. 160): 42-47.
 53. Sallis, J. F., & Glanz, K. (2006). *The role of built environments in physical activity, eating, and obesity in childhood. The future of children*, 89-108.
 54. Schneider, A., & Woodcock, C. E. (2008). *Compact, dispersed, fragmented, extensive? A comparison of urban growth in twenty-five global cities using remotely sensed data, pattern metrics and census information*. *Urban Studies*, 45(3), 659-692.
 55. Smets, P. (2004, June). *Affordable housing for different income groups in working class neighbourhoods in Amsterdam: Social mix or segregation?* In *International Conference: Adequate and Affordable Housing for All: Research, Policy, Practices*. Toronto 24-27.
 56. Udom, M. E. L., Enwin, A. D., & Ikiriko, T. D. (2023). *Adoption of Community Land Trusts into Housing Policy for Provision of Affordable Housing Developments in Nigeria*. *AfRES*, (AFRES 2023-006).
 57. USHUD (1999) *The state of the city's 1999: third annual report*", US Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, DC

How to cite this article: Anthony Dornubari Enwin, Tamunoikuronibo Dawaye Ikiriko. *Balancing urban development: literature on the nexus between affordable housing and urban sprawl*. *International Journal of Research and Review*. 2023; 10(12): 560-572. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20231259>
